

Stat 710: Mathematical Statistics

Lecture 41

Jun Shao

Department of Statistics
University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI 53706, USA

Lecture 41: One-way ANOVA and Tukey's method

Example 7.27 (Multiple comparison in one-way ANOVA models)

Consider the one-way ANOVA model

$$X_{ij} = N(\mu_i, \sigma^2), \quad j = 1, \dots, n_i, i = 1, \dots, m.$$

If the hypothesis $H_0 : \mu_1 = \dots = \mu_m$ is rejected, one typically would like to compare μ_i 's.

One way to compare μ_i 's is to consider simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_i - \mu_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$.

Since X_{ij} 's are independently normal, the sample means \bar{X}_i are independently normal $N(\mu_i, \sigma^2/n_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, m$, respectively, and they are independent of

$$SSR = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} (X_{ij} - \bar{X}_i)^2.$$

Consequently, $(\bar{X}_i - \bar{X}_j)/\sqrt{v_{ij}}$ has the t-distribution t_{n-m} , $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, where $v_{ij} = (n_i^{-1} + n_j^{-1})SSR/(n-m)$.

Example 7.27 (continued)

For each (i, j) , a confidence interval for $\mu_i - \mu_j$ with confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$ is

$$C_{ij,\alpha}(X) = [\bar{X}_i - \bar{X}_j - t_{n-m,\alpha/2} \sqrt{v_{ij}}, \bar{X}_i - \bar{X}_j + t_{n-m,\alpha/2} \sqrt{v_{ij}}],$$

where $t_{n-m,\alpha}$ is the $(1 - \alpha)$ th quantile of the t-distribution t_{n-m} . One can show that $C_{ij,\alpha}(X)$ is actually UMAU (exercise).

Bonferroni's level $1 - \alpha$ simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_i - \mu_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, are $C_{ij,\alpha_*}(X)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, where $\alpha_* = 2\alpha/[m(m-1)]$. When m is large, these confidence intervals are very conservative in the sense that the confidence coefficient of these intervals may be much larger than the nominal level $1 - \alpha$ and these intervals may be too wide to be useful.

If the normality assumption is removed, then $C_{ij,\alpha}(X)$ is $1 - \alpha$ asymptotically correct as $\min\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\max\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} / \min\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} \rightarrow c < \infty$.

Therefore, $C_{ij,\alpha_*}(X)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, are simultaneous confidence intervals with asymptotic confidence level $1 - \alpha$.

Example 7.27 (continued)

For each (i, j) , a confidence interval for $\mu_i - \mu_j$ with confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$ is

$$C_{ij,\alpha}(X) = [\bar{X}_i - \bar{X}_j - t_{n-m,\alpha/2} \sqrt{v_{ij}}, \bar{X}_i - \bar{X}_j + t_{n-m,\alpha/2} \sqrt{v_{ij}}],$$

where $t_{n-m,\alpha}$ is the $(1 - \alpha)$ th quantile of the t-distribution t_{n-m} .

One can show that $C_{ij,\alpha}(X)$ is actually UMAU (exercise).

Bonferroni's level $1 - \alpha$ simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_i - \mu_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, are $C_{ij,\alpha_*}(X)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, where $\alpha_* = 2\alpha/[m(m-1)]$.

When m is large, these confidence intervals are very conservative in the sense that the confidence coefficient of these intervals may be much larger than the nominal level $1 - \alpha$ and these intervals may be too wide to be useful.

If the normality assumption is removed, then $C_{ij,\alpha}(X)$ is $1 - \alpha$ asymptotically correct as $\min\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\max\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} / \min\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} \rightarrow c < \infty$.

Therefore, $C_{ij,\alpha_*}(X)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, are simultaneous confidence intervals with asymptotic confidence level $1 - \alpha$.

Example 7.27 (continued)

For each (i, j) , a confidence interval for $\mu_i - \mu_j$ with confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$ is

$$C_{ij,\alpha}(X) = [\bar{X}_i - \bar{X}_j - t_{n-m,\alpha/2} \sqrt{v_{ij}}, \bar{X}_i - \bar{X}_j + t_{n-m,\alpha/2} \sqrt{v_{ij}}],$$

where $t_{n-m,\alpha}$ is the $(1 - \alpha)$ th quantile of the t-distribution t_{n-m} .

One can show that $C_{ij,\alpha}(X)$ is actually UMAU (exercise).

Bonferroni's level $1 - \alpha$ simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_i - \mu_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, are $C_{ij,\alpha_*}(X)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, where $\alpha_* = 2\alpha/[m(m-1)]$.

When m is large, these confidence intervals are very conservative in the sense that the confidence coefficient of these intervals may be much larger than the nominal level $1 - \alpha$ and these intervals may be too wide to be useful.

If the normality assumption is removed, then $C_{ij,\alpha}(X)$ is $1 - \alpha$ asymptotically correct as $\min\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\max\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} / \min\{n_1, \dots, n_m\} \rightarrow c < \infty$.

Therefore, $C_{ij,\alpha_*}(X)$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, are simultaneous confidence intervals with asymptotic confidence level $1 - \alpha$.

Example 7.27 (continued)

We can also use Scheffé's method to obtain simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_i - \mu_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$.

Scheffé's intervals have confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$ for $t^T L \beta$, $t \in \mathcal{T}$, where $\beta = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ and

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Scheffé's intervals are also too conservative.

In fact, they are often more conservative than Bonferroni's intervals.

Tukey's method in one-way ANOVA models

Consider the one-way ANOVA model in Example 7.27.

Tukey's method introduced next produces simultaneous confidence intervals for all nonzero contrasts (including the differences $\mu_i - \mu_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$) with confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$.

Example 7.27 (continued)

We can also use Scheffé's method to obtain simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_i - \mu_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$.

Scheffé's intervals have confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$ for $t^T L \beta$, $t \in \mathcal{T}$, where $\beta = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$ and

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & -1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Scheffé's intervals are also too conservative.

In fact, they are often more conservative than Bonferroni's intervals.

Tukey's method in one-way ANOVA models

Consider the one-way ANOVA model in Example 7.27.

Tukey's method introduced next produces simultaneous confidence intervals for all nonzero contrasts (including the differences $\mu_i - \mu_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq m$) with confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$.

Studentized range

Let $\hat{\sigma}^2 = SSR/(n - m)$, where SSR is given in Example 7.27. The *studentized range* is defined to be

$$R_{St} = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{|(\bar{X}_i - \mu_i) - (\bar{X}_j - \mu_j)|}{\hat{\sigma}}.$$

The distribution of R_{St} does not depend on any unknown parameter.

Theorem 7.11

Assume the one-way ANOVA model in Example 7.27.

Let q_α be the $(1 - \alpha)$ th quantile of the studentized range R_{St} .

Then Tukey's intervals

$$[c^\tau \hat{\beta} - q_\alpha \hat{\sigma} c_+, c^\tau \hat{\beta} + q_\alpha \hat{\sigma} c_+], \quad c \in \mathcal{R}^m - \{0\}, c^\tau J = 0,$$

are simultaneous confidence intervals for $c^\tau \beta$, $c \in \mathcal{R}^m - \{0\}$, $c^\tau J = 0$, with confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$, where c_+ is the sum of all positive components of c , $\beta = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$, $\hat{\beta} = (\bar{X}_{1\cdot}, \dots, \bar{X}_{m\cdot})$, and J is the m -vector of ones.

Studentized range

Let $\hat{\sigma}^2 = SSR/(n - m)$, where SSR is given in Example 7.27. The *studentized range* is defined to be

$$R_{st} = \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} \frac{|(\bar{X}_i - \mu_i) - (\bar{X}_j - \mu_j)|}{\hat{\sigma}}.$$

The distribution of R_{st} does not depend on any unknown parameter.

Theorem 7.11

Assume the one-way ANOVA model in Example 7.27.

Let q_α be the $(1 - \alpha)$ th quantile of the studentized range R_{st} .

Then Tukey's intervals

$$[c^\tau \hat{\beta} - q_\alpha \hat{\sigma} c_+, c^\tau \hat{\beta} + q_\alpha \hat{\sigma} c_+], \quad c \in \mathcal{R}^m - \{0\}, c^\tau J = 0,$$

are simultaneous confidence intervals for $c^\tau \beta$, $c \in \mathcal{R}^m - \{0\}$, $c^\tau J = 0$, with confidence coefficient $1 - \alpha$, where c_+ is the sum of all positive components of c , $\beta = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$, $\hat{\beta} = (\bar{X}_{1.}, \dots, \bar{X}_{m.})$, and J is the m -vector of ones.

Proof

Let $Y_i = (\bar{X}_i - \mu_i) / \hat{\sigma}$ and $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$.

Then the result follows if we can show that

$$\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} |Y_i - Y_j| \leq q_\alpha \quad (1)$$

is equivalent to

$$|c^\tau Y| \leq q_\alpha c_+ \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathcal{R}^m \text{ satisfying } c^\tau J = 0, c \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

Let $c(i, j) = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ with $c_i = 1$, $c_j = -1$, and $c_l = 0$ for $l \neq i$ or $l \neq j$.

Then

$$c(i, j)_+ = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |[c(i, j)]^\tau Y| = |Y_i - Y_j|.$$

Therefore, (2) implies (1).

Next, we show (1) implies (2).

Let $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ be a vector satisfying the conditions in (2).

Define $-c_-$ to be the sum of negative components of c .

Then the result follows from

Proof

Let $Y_i = (\bar{X}_i - \mu_i) / \hat{\sigma}$ and $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_m)$.

Then the result follows if we can show that

$$\max_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} |Y_i - Y_j| \leq q_\alpha \quad (1)$$

is equivalent to

$$|c^\tau Y| \leq q_\alpha c_+ \quad \text{for all } c \in \mathcal{R}^m \text{ satisfying } c^\tau J = 0, c \neq 0. \quad (2)$$

Let $c(i, j) = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ with $c_i = 1$, $c_j = -1$, and $c_l = 0$ for $l \neq i$ or $l \neq j$.

Then

$$c(i, j)_+ = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |[c(i, j)]^\tau Y| = |Y_i - Y_j|.$$

Therefore, (2) implies (1).

Next, we show (1) implies (2).

Let $c = (c_1, \dots, c_m)$ be a vector satisfying the conditions in (2).

Define $-c_-$ to be the sum of negative components of c .

Then the result follows from

Proof (continued)

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{c}^\tau \mathbf{Y}| &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}_+} \left| \mathbf{c}_+ \sum_{j:\mathbf{c}_j < 0} \mathbf{c}_j Y_j + \mathbf{c}_- \sum_{i:\mathbf{c}_i > 0} \mathbf{c}_i Y_i \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}_+} \left| \sum_{i:\mathbf{c}_i > 0} \sum_{j:\mathbf{c}_j < 0} \mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{c}_j Y_j - \sum_{j:\mathbf{c}_j < 0} \sum_{i:\mathbf{c}_i > 0} \mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{c}_j Y_i \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}_+} \left| \sum_{i:\mathbf{c}_i > 0} \sum_{j:\mathbf{c}_j < 0} \mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{c}_j (Y_j - Y_i) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mathbf{c}_+} \sum_{i:\mathbf{c}_i > 0} \sum_{j:\mathbf{c}_j < 0} |\mathbf{c}_i \mathbf{c}_j| |Y_j - Y_i| \\ &\leq \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} |Y_j - Y_i| \left(\frac{1}{\mathbf{c}_+} \sum_{i:\mathbf{c}_i > 0} \sum_{j:\mathbf{c}_j < 0} |\mathbf{c}_i| |\mathbf{c}_j| \right) \\ &= \max_{1 \leq i < j \leq m} |Y_j - Y_i| \mathbf{c}_+, \end{aligned}$$

where the first and the last equalities follow from the fact that $\mathbf{c}_- = \mathbf{c}_+ \neq 0$.

Remarks

- Tukey's method works well when n_i 's are all equal to n_0 , in which case values of $\sqrt{n_0}q_\alpha$ can be found using tables or statistical software.
- When n_i 's are unequal, some modifications are suggested; see Tukey (1977) and Milliken and Johnson (1992).

Example 7.29

We compare the t-type confidence intervals, Bonferroni's, Scheffé's, and Tukey's simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_i - \mu_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, based on the following data X_{ij} given in Mendenhall and Sincich (1995):

	$j = 1$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$i = 1$	148	76	393	520	236	134	55	166	415	153
2	513	264	433	94	535	327	214	135	280	304
3	335	643	216	536	128	723	258	380	594	465

Remarks

- Tukey's method works well when n_i 's are all equal to n_0 , in which case values of $\sqrt{n_0}q_\alpha$ can be found using tables or statistical software.
- When n_i 's are unequal, some modifications are suggested; see Tukey (1977) and Milliken and Johnson (1992).

Example 7.29

We compare the t-type confidence intervals, Bonferroni's, Scheffé's, and Tukey's simultaneous confidence intervals for $\mu_i - \mu_j$, $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, based on the following data X_{ij} given in Mendenhall and Sincich (1995):

	$j = 1$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
$i = 1$	148	76	393	520	236	134	55	166	415	153
2	513	264	433	94	535	327	214	135	280	304
3	335	643	216	536	128	723	258	380	594	465

Example 7.29 (continued)

In this example, $m = 3$, $n_i \equiv n_0 = 10$, $\bar{X}_1 = 229.6$, $\bar{X}_2 = 309.8$, $\bar{X}_3 = 427.8$, and $\hat{\sigma} = 168.95$.

Let $\alpha = 0.05$.

For the t-type intervals, $t_{27,0.975} = 2.05$.

For Bonferroni's method, $\alpha_* = \alpha/3 = 0.017$ and $t_{27,0.983} = 2.55$.

For Scheffé's method, $c_{0.05} = 3.35$ and $\sqrt{2c_{0.05}} = 2.59$.

From Table 13 in Mendenhall and Sincich (1995, Appendix II),

$\sqrt{n_0}q_{0.05} = 3.49$.

The resulting confidence intervals are given in the following table.

Example 7.29 (continued)

Method	Parameter			Length
	$\mu_1 - \mu_2$	$\mu_1 - \mu_3$	$\mu_2 - \mu_3$	
t-type	$[-235.2, 74.6]$	$[-353.1, -43.3]$	$[-272.8, 37.0]$	309.8
Bonferroni	$[-273.0, 112.4]$	$[-390.9, -5.5]$	$[-310.6, 74.8]$	385.4
Scheffé	$[-276.0, 115.4]$	$[-393.9, -2.5]$	$[-313.6, 77.8]$	391.4
Tukey	$[-267.3, 106.7]$	$[-385.2, -11.2]$	$[-304.9, 69.1]$	374.0

Discussions

- Apparently, t-type intervals have the shortest length, but they are not simultaneous confidence intervals.
- Tukey's intervals in this example have the shortest length among simultaneous confidence intervals.
- Scheffé's intervals have the longest length.