

Stat 710: Mathematical Statistics

Lecture 19

Jun Shao

Department of Statistics
University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI 53706, USA

Lecture 19: Robustness and efficiency

Mean vs median

Let F be a c.d.f. on \mathcal{R} symmetric about $\theta \in \mathcal{R}$ with $F'(\theta) > 0$.

Then $\theta = \theta_{0.5}$ and is called the *median* of F .

If F has a finite mean, then θ is also equal to the mean.

We consider the estimation of θ based on i.i.d. X_i 's from F .

If F is normal, it has been shown in previous chapters that the sample mean \bar{X} is the UMVUE and MLE of θ and is asymptotically efficient.

On the other hand, if F is the c.d.f. of the Cauchy distribution $C(\theta, 1)$, it follows from Exercise 78 in §1.6 that \bar{X} has the same distribution as X_1 , i.e., \bar{X} is as variable as X_1 , and is inconsistent as an estimator of θ . Why does \bar{X} perform so differently?

An important difference between the normal and Cauchy p.d.f.'s is that the former tends to 0 at the rate $e^{-x^2/2}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, whereas the latter tends to 0 at the much slower rate x^{-2} , which results in $\int |x| dF(x) = \infty$.

The poor performance of \bar{X} in the Cauchy case is due to the high probability of getting extreme observations and the fact that \bar{X} is sensitive to large changes in a few of the X_i 's.

Mean vs median

This suggests the use of a robust estimator that discards some extreme observations.

The *sample median*, which is defined to be the 50%th sample quantile $\hat{\theta}_{0.5}$ described in §5.3.1, is insensitive to the behavior of F as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Since both the sample mean and the sample median can be used to estimate θ , a natural question is when is one better than the other, using a criterion such as the amse (asymptotic efficiency).

Unfortunately, a general answer does not exist, since the asymptotic relative efficiency between these two estimators depends on the unknown distribution F .

If F does not have a finite variance, then $\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \infty$ and \bar{X} may be inconsistent.

In such a case the sample median is certainly preferred, since $\hat{\theta}_{0.5}$ is consistent and asymptotically normal as long as $F'(\theta) > 0$, and may have a finite variance (Exercise 60).

The following example, which compares the sample mean and median in some cases, shows that the sample median can be better even if $\text{Var}(X_1) < \infty$.

Example 5.10 (asymptotic efficiency and robustness)

Suppose that $\text{Var}(X_1) < \infty$.

Then, by the CLT,

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \theta) \rightarrow_d N(0, \text{Var}(X_1)).$$

By Theorem 5.10(iv),

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_{0.5} - \theta) \rightarrow_d N(0, [2F'(\theta)]^{-2}).$$

Hence, the asymptotic relative efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_{0.5}$ w.r.t. \bar{X} is

$$e(F) = 4[F'(\theta)]^2 \text{Var}(X_1).$$

- If F is the c.d.f. of $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$, then $\text{Var}(X_1) = \sigma^2$, $F'(\theta) = (\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma)^{-1}$, and $e(F) = 2/\pi = 0.637$.
- If F is the c.d.f. of the logistic distribution $LG(\theta, \sigma)$, then $\text{Var}(X_1) = \sigma^2\pi^2/3$, $F'(\theta) = (4\sigma)^{-1}$, and $e(F) = \pi^2/12 = 0.822$.
- If $F(x) = F_0(x - \theta)$ and F_0 is the c.d.f. of the t-distribution t_ν with $\nu \geq 3$, then $\text{Var}(X_1) = \nu/(\nu - 2)$, $F'(\theta) = \Gamma(\frac{\nu+1}{2})/[\sqrt{\nu\pi}\Gamma(\frac{\nu}{2})]$, $e(F) = 1.62$ when $\nu = 3$, $e(F) = 1.12$ when $\nu = 4$, and $e(F) = 0.96$ when $\nu = 5$.

Example 5.10 (continued)

- If F is the c.d.f. of the double exponential distribution $DE(\theta, \sigma)$, then $F'(\theta) = (2\sigma)^{-1}$ and $e(F) = 2$.
- Consider the Tukey model

$$F(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)\Phi\left(\frac{x-\theta}{\sigma}\right) + \varepsilon\Phi\left(\frac{x-\theta}{\tau\sigma}\right),$$

where $\sigma > 0$, $\tau > 0$, and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then

$\text{Var}(X_1) = (1 - \varepsilon)\sigma^2 + \varepsilon\tau^2\sigma^2$, $F'(\theta) = (1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon/\tau)/(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma)$, and $e(F) = 2(1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon\tau^2)(1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon/\tau)^2/\pi$. Note that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} e(F) = 2/\pi$ and $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} e(F) = \infty$.

Trimmed sample mean

Since the sample median uses at most two actual values of x_i 's, it may go too far in discarding observations, which results in a possible loss of efficiency.

The trimmed sample mean is a natural compromise between the sample mean and median.

Example 5.10 (continued)

- If F is the c.d.f. of the double exponential distribution $DE(\theta, \sigma)$, then $F'(\theta) = (2\sigma)^{-1}$ and $e(F) = 2$.
- Consider the Tukey model

$$F(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)\Phi\left(\frac{x - \theta}{\sigma}\right) + \varepsilon\Phi\left(\frac{x - \theta}{\tau\sigma}\right),$$

where $\sigma > 0$, $\tau > 0$, and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Then

$\text{Var}(X_1) = (1 - \varepsilon)\sigma^2 + \varepsilon\tau^2\sigma^2$, $F'(\theta) = (1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon/\tau)/(\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma)$, and $e(F) = 2(1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon\tau^2)(1 - \varepsilon + \varepsilon/\tau)^2/\pi$. Note that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} e(F) = 2/\pi$ and $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} e(F) = \infty$.

Trimmed sample mean

Since the sample median uses at most two actual values of x_i 's, it may go too far in discarding observations, which results in a possible loss of efficiency.

The trimmed sample mean is a natural compromise between the sample mean and median.

The α -trimmed sample mean and its properties

The α -trimmed sample mean is defined as

$$\bar{X}_\alpha = \frac{1}{(1-2\alpha)n} \sum_{j=m_\alpha+1}^{n-m_\alpha} X_{(j)},$$

where m_α is the integer part of $n\alpha$ and $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$.

It discards the m_α smallest and m_α largest observations.

The sample mean and median can be viewed as two extreme cases of \bar{X}_α as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ and $\frac{1}{2}$, respectively.

If $F(x) = F_0(x - \theta)$, where F_0 is symmetric about 0 and has a Lebesgue p.d.f. positive in the range of X_1 , then

$$\sqrt{n}(\bar{X}_\alpha - \theta) \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma_\alpha^2),$$

where

$$\sigma_\alpha^2 = \frac{2}{(1-2\alpha)^2} \left\{ \int_0^{F_0^{-1}(1-\alpha)} x^2 dF_0(x) + \alpha [F_0^{-1}(1-\alpha)]^2 \right\}.$$

(These will be further discussed in the next lecture.)

Comparisons

From the asymptotic normality of \bar{X}_α , the asymptotic relative efficiency between \bar{X}_α and the sample mean \bar{X} is

$$e_{\bar{X}_\alpha, \bar{X}}(F) = \text{Var}(X_1) / \sigma_\alpha^2.$$

Lehmann (1983, §5.4) provides various values of the asymptotic relative efficiency $e_{\bar{X}_\alpha, \bar{X}}(F)$.

For instance, when $F(x) = F_0(x - \theta)$ and F_0 is the c.d.f. of the t-distribution t_3 , $e_{\bar{X}_\alpha, \bar{X}}(F) = 1.70, 1.91, \text{ and } 1.97$ for $\alpha = 0.05, 0.125, \text{ and } 0.25$, respectively;

when

$$F(x) = (1 - \varepsilon)\Phi\left(\frac{x - \theta}{\sigma}\right) + \varepsilon\Phi\left(\frac{x - \theta}{\tau\sigma}\right)$$

with $\tau = 3$ and $\varepsilon = 0.05$, $e_{\bar{X}_\alpha, \bar{X}}(F) = 1.20, 1.19, \text{ and } 1.09$ for $\alpha = 0.05, 0.125, \text{ and } 0.25$, respectively;

when $\tau = 3$ and $\varepsilon = 0.01$, $e_{\bar{X}_\alpha, \bar{X}}(F) = 1.04, 0.98, \text{ and } 0.89$ for $\alpha = 0.05, 0.125, \text{ and } 0.25$, respectively.

M-estimators

Note that the sample mean \bar{X} satisfies

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2 = \min_{t \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - t)^2 = \min_{t \in \Theta} \int (x - t)^2 dF_n$$

This idea can be generalized to get a class of estimators obtained by minimizing some functions.

Let $\rho(x, t)$ be a Borel function on $\mathcal{R}^d \times \mathcal{R}$ and $\Theta \subset \mathcal{R}$ be an open set. An *M-functional* is defined to be a solution of

$$\int \rho(x, T(G)) dG(x) = \min_{t \in \Theta} \int \rho(x, t) dG(x), \quad G \in \mathcal{F}$$

For X_1, \dots, X_n i.i.d. from $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $T(F_n)$ is called an *M-estimator* of $T(F)$.

$$\int \rho(x, T(F_n)) dF_n(x) = \min_{t \in \Theta} \int \rho(x, t) dF_n(x)$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(X_i, T(F_n)) = \min_{t \in \Theta} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \rho(X_i, t)$$

M-estimators

Assume that $\psi(x, t) = \partial\rho(x, t)/\partial t$ exists a.e. and

$$\lambda_G(t) = \int \psi(x, t) dG(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \rho(x, t) dG(x).$$

Then $\lambda_G(T(G)) = 0$ and $T(F_n)$ is a solution of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i, t) = 0.$$

Example 5.7

The following are some examples of M-estimators.

- (i) If $\rho(x, t) = (x - t)^2/2$, then $T(F_n) = \bar{X}$ is the sample mean.
- (ii) If $\rho(x, t) = |x - t|^p/p$, where $p \in [1, 2)$, then

$$\psi(x, t) = \begin{cases} |x - t|^{p-1} & x \leq t \\ -|x - t|^{p-1} & x > t. \end{cases}$$

When $p = 1$, $T(F_n)$ is the sample median. When $1 < p < 2$, $T(F_n)$ is called the p th least absolute deviations estimator or the minimum L_p distance estimator.

M-estimators

Assume that $\psi(x, t) = \partial\rho(x, t)/\partial t$ exists a.e. and

$$\lambda_G(t) = \int \psi(x, t) dG(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \int \rho(x, t) dG(x).$$

Then $\lambda_G(T(G)) = 0$ and $T(F_n)$ is a solution of

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i, t) = 0.$$

Example 5.7

The following are some examples of M-estimators.

- (i) If $\rho(x, t) = (x - t)^2/2$, then $T(F_n) = \bar{X}$ is the sample mean.
- (ii) If $\rho(x, t) = |x - t|^p/p$, where $p \in [1, 2)$, then

$$\psi(x, t) = \begin{cases} |x - t|^{p-1} & x \leq t \\ -|x - t|^{p-1} & x > t. \end{cases}$$

When $p = 1$, $T(F_n)$ is the sample median. When $1 < p < 2$, $T(F_n)$ is called the p th least absolute deviations estimator or the minimum L_p distance estimator.

Example 5.7 (continued)

(iii) Let $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{f_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$ be a parametric family of p.d.f.'s with $\Theta \subset \mathcal{R}$ and $\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\log f_t(\mathbf{x})$.

Then $T(F_n)$ is an MLE.

Thus, M-estimators are extensions of MLE's in parametric models.

(iv) Let $C > 0$ be a constant.

Huber (1964) considers

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - t)^2 & |\mathbf{x} - t| \leq C \\ \frac{1}{2}C^2 & |\mathbf{x} - t| > C \end{cases}$$

with

$$\psi(\mathbf{x}, t) = \begin{cases} t - \mathbf{x} & |\mathbf{x} - t| \leq C \\ 0 & |\mathbf{x} - t| > C. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding $T(F_n)$ is a type of trimmed sample mean.

(v) Let $C > 0$ be a constant.

Huber (1964) considers

$$\rho(\mathbf{x}, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{x} - t)^2 & |\mathbf{x} - t| \leq C \\ C|\mathbf{x} - t| - \frac{1}{2}C^2 & |\mathbf{x} - t| > C \end{cases}$$

Example 5.7 (continued)

with

$$\psi(x, t) = \begin{cases} C & t - x > C \\ t - x & |x - t| \leq C \\ -C & t - x < -C. \end{cases}$$

The corresponding $T(F_n)$ is a type of Winsorized sample mean.

(vi) Hampel (1974) considers $\psi(x, t) = \psi_0(t - x)$ with $\psi_0(s) = -\psi_0(-s)$ and

$$\psi_0(s) = \begin{cases} s & 0 \leq s \leq a \\ a & a < s \leq b \\ \frac{a(c-s)}{c-b} & b < s \leq c \\ 0 & s > c, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < a < b < c$ are constants.

A smoothed version of ψ_0 is

$$\psi_1(s) = \begin{cases} \sin(as) & 0 \leq s < \pi/a \\ 0 & s > \pi/a. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 5.7

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. from F and T be an M-functional.

Assume that ψ is a bounded and continuous function on $\mathcal{R}^d \times \mathcal{R}$ and that $\lambda_F(t)$ is continuously differentiable at $T(F)$ and $\lambda'_F(T(F)) \neq 0$.

Then

$$\sqrt{n}[T(F_n) - T(F)] \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma_F^2)$$

with

$$\sigma_F^2 = \frac{\int [\psi(x, T(F))]^2 dF(x)}{[\lambda'_F(T(F))]^2}.$$

Example 5.13

Consider Huber's ψ given in Example 5.7(v).

Assume that F is continuous at $\theta - C$ and $\theta + C$.

Then

$$\sigma_F^2 = \frac{\int_{\theta-C}^{\theta+C} (\theta - x)^2 dF(x) + C^2 F(\theta - C) + C^2 [1 - F(\theta + C)]}{[F(\theta + C) - F(\theta - C)]^2}$$

Asymptotic relative efficiency between Huber's M-estimator and the sample mean can be obtained.

Theorem 5.7

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. from F and T be an M-functional.

Assume that ψ is a bounded and continuous function on $\mathcal{R}^d \times \mathcal{R}$ and that $\lambda_F(t)$ is continuously differentiable at $T(F)$ and $\lambda'_F(T(F)) \neq 0$.

Then

$$\sqrt{n}[T(F_n) - T(F)] \rightarrow_d N(0, \sigma_F^2)$$

with

$$\sigma_F^2 = \frac{\int [\psi(x, T(F))]^2 dF(x)}{[\lambda'_F(T(F))]^2}.$$

Example 5.13

Consider Huber's ψ given in Example 5.7(v).

Assume that F is continuous at $\theta - C$ and $\theta + C$.

Then

$$\sigma_F^2 = \frac{\int_{\theta-C}^{\theta+C} (\theta - x)^2 dF(x) + C^2 F(\theta - C) + C^2 [1 - F(\theta + C)]}{[F(\theta + C) - F(\theta - C)]^2}$$

Asymptotic relative efficiency between Huber's M-estimator and the sample mean can be obtained.