

# Stat 709: Mathematical Statistics

## Lecture 38

Jun Shao

Department of Statistics  
University of Wisconsin  
Madison, WI 53706, USA

# Lecture 38: Asymptotic properties of LSE's and weighted LSE's

## Theorem 3.11 (Consistency)

Consider model

$$X = Z\beta + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

under assumption A3 ( $E(\varepsilon) = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon)$  is an unknown matrix).

Consider the LSE  $I^r \hat{\beta}$  with  $I \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$  for every  $n$ .

Suppose that  $\sup_n \lambda_+[\text{Var}(\varepsilon)] < \infty$ , where  $\lambda_+[A]$  is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $A$ , and that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_+[(Z^r Z)^{-}] = 0$ .

Then  $I^r \hat{\beta}$  is consistent in mse for any  $I \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$ .

## Proof

The result follows from the fact that  $I^r \hat{\beta}$  is unbiased and

$$\text{Var}(I^r \hat{\beta}) = I^r (Z^r Z)^{-} Z^r \text{Var}(\varepsilon) Z (Z^r Z)^{-} I \leq \lambda_+[\text{Var}(\varepsilon)] I^r (Z^r Z)^{-} I.$$

# Lecture 38: Asymptotic properties of LSE's and weighted LSE's

## Theorem 3.11 (Consistency)

Consider model

$$X = Z\beta + \varepsilon \quad (1)$$

under assumption A3 ( $E(\varepsilon) = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon)$  is an unknown matrix).

Consider the LSE  $I^\tau \hat{\beta}$  with  $I \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$  for every  $n$ .

Suppose that  $\sup_n \lambda_+[\text{Var}(\varepsilon)] < \infty$ , where  $\lambda_+[A]$  is the largest eigenvalue of the matrix  $A$ , and that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_+[(Z^\tau Z)^{-}] = 0$ .

Then  $I^\tau \hat{\beta}$  is consistent in mse for any  $I \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$ .

## Proof

The result follows from the fact that  $I^\tau \hat{\beta}$  is unbiased and

$$\text{Var}(I^\tau \hat{\beta}) = I^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-} Z^\tau \text{Var}(\varepsilon) Z (Z^\tau Z)^{-} I \leq \lambda_+[\text{Var}(\varepsilon)] I^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-} I.$$

Without the normality assumption on  $\varepsilon$ , the exact distribution of  $I^\tau \hat{\beta}$  is very hard to obtain.

The asymptotic distribution of  $I^\tau \hat{\beta}$  is derived in the following result.

### Theorem 3.12

Consider model (1) with assumption A3.

Suppose that  $0 < \inf_n \lambda_- [\text{Var}(\varepsilon)]$ , where  $\lambda_- [A]$  is the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix  $A$ , and that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} Z_i^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} Z_i = 0. \quad (2)$$

Suppose further that  $n = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j$  for some integers  $k$ ,  $m_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , with  $m_j$ 's bounded by a fixed integer  $m$ ,  $\varepsilon = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ ,  $\xi_j \in \mathcal{R}^{m_j}$ , and  $\xi_j$ 's are independent.

(i) If  $\sup_i E|\varepsilon_i|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ , then for any  $l \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$ ,

$$I^\tau (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \Big/ \sqrt{\text{Var}(I^\tau \hat{\beta})} \rightarrow_d N(0, 1). \quad (3)$$

(ii) Result (3) holds for any  $l \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$  if, when  $m_i = m_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ ,  $\xi_i$  and  $\xi_j$  have the same distribution.

Without the normality assumption on  $\varepsilon$ , the exact distribution of  $I^\tau \hat{\beta}$  is very hard to obtain.

The asymptotic distribution of  $I^\tau \hat{\beta}$  is derived in the following result.

### Theorem 3.12

Consider model (1) with assumption A3.

Suppose that  $0 < \inf_n \lambda_- [\text{Var}(\varepsilon)]$ , where  $\lambda_- [A]$  is the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix  $A$ , and that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} Z_i^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} Z_i = 0. \quad (2)$$

Suppose further that  $n = \sum_{j=1}^k m_j$  for some integers  $k$ ,  $m_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ , with  $m_j$ 's bounded by a fixed integer  $m$ ,  $\varepsilon = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ ,  $\xi_j \in \mathcal{R}^{m_j}$ , and  $\xi_j$ 's are independent.

(i) If  $\sup_i E|\varepsilon_i|^{2+\delta} < \infty$ , then for any  $l \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$ ,

$$I^\tau (\hat{\beta} - \beta) \Big/ \sqrt{\text{Var}(I^\tau \hat{\beta})} \rightarrow_d N(0, 1). \quad (3)$$

(ii) Result (3) holds for any  $l \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$  if, when  $m_i = m_j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ ,  $\xi_i$  and  $\xi_j$  have the same distribution.

## Proof

For  $l \in \mathcal{R}(Z)$ ,

$$l^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} Z^\tau Z \beta - l^\tau \beta = 0$$

and

$$l^\tau (\hat{\beta} - \beta) = l^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} Z^\tau \varepsilon = \sum_{j=1}^k c_{nj}^\tau \xi_j,$$

where  $c_{nj}$  is the  $m_j$ -vector whose components are  $l^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} Z_i$ ,  $i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j$ ,  $k_0 = 0$ , and  $k_j = \sum_{t=1}^j m_t$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, k$ .

Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \|c_{nj}\|^2 = l^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} Z^\tau Z (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} l = l^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} l. \quad (4)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|c_{nj}\|^2 &\leq m \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} [l^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} Z_i]^2 \\ &\leq m l^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} l \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} Z_i^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} Z_i, \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (4) and condition (2), implies that

## Proof (continued)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|c_{nj}\|^2 / \sum_{j=1}^k \|c_{nj}\|^2 \right) = 0.$$

The results then follow from Corollary 1.3.

## Remarks

- Under the conditions of Theorem 3.12,  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon)$  is a diagonal block matrix with  $\text{Var}(\xi_j)$  as the  $j$ th diagonal block, which includes the case of independent  $\varepsilon_j$ 's as a special case.
- Exercise 80 shows that condition (2) is almost a necessary condition for the consistency of the LSE.

## Lemma 3.3

The following are sufficient conditions for (2).

- $\lambda_+[(Z^T Z)^-] \rightarrow 0$  and  $Z_n^T (Z^T Z)^- Z_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- There is an increasing sequence  $\{a_n\}$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $a_n/a_{n+1} \rightarrow 1$ , and  $Z^T Z/a_n$  converges to a positive definite matrix.

## Proof (continued)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|c_{nj}\|^2 / \sum_{j=1}^k \|c_{nj}\|^2 \right) = 0.$$

The results then follow from Corollary 1.3.

## Remarks

- Under the conditions of Theorem 3.12,  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon)$  is a diagonal block matrix with  $\text{Var}(\xi_j)$  as the  $j$ th diagonal block, which includes the case of independent  $\varepsilon_j$ 's as a special case.
- Exercise 80 shows that condition (2) is almost a necessary condition for the consistency of the LSE.

## Lemma 3.3

The following are sufficient conditions for (2).

- $\lambda_+[(Z^T Z)^{-}] \rightarrow 0$  and  $Z_n^T (Z^T Z)^{-} Z_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- There is an increasing sequence  $\{a_n\}$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $a_n/a_{n+1} \rightarrow 1$ , and  $Z^T Z/a_n$  converges to a positive definite matrix.

## Proof (continued)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \max_{1 \leq j \leq k} \|c_{nj}\|^2 / \sum_{j=1}^k \|c_{nj}\|^2 \right) = 0.$$

The results then follow from Corollary 1.3.

## Remarks

- Under the conditions of Theorem 3.12,  $\text{Var}(\varepsilon)$  is a diagonal block matrix with  $\text{Var}(\xi_j)$  as the  $j$ th diagonal block, which includes the case of independent  $\varepsilon_j$ 's as a special case.
- Exercise 80 shows that condition (2) is almost a necessary condition for the consistency of the LSE.

## Lemma 3.3

The following are sufficient conditions for (2).

- $\lambda_+ [(Z^T Z)^-] \rightarrow 0$  and  $Z_n^T (Z^T Z)^- Z_n \rightarrow 0$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- There is an increasing sequence  $\{a_n\}$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $a_n/a_{n+1} \rightarrow 1$ , and  $Z^T Z/a_n$  converges to a positive definite matrix.

## Proof of (a)

Since  $Z^\tau Z$  depends on  $n$ , we denote  $(Z^\tau Z)^-$  by  $A_n$ .

Let  $i_n$  be the integer such that  $h_{i_n} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_i$ .

If  $\lim_n i_n = \infty$ , then

$$\lim_n h_{i_n} = \lim_n Z_{i_n}^\tau A_n Z_{i_n} \leq \lim_n Z_{i_n}^\tau A_{i_n} Z_{i_n} = 0,$$

where the inequality follows from  $i_n \leq n$  and, thus,  $A_{i_n} - A_n$  is nonnegative definite.

If  $i_n \leq c$  for all  $n$ , then

$$\lim_n h_{i_n} = \lim_n Z_{i_n}^\tau A_n Z_{i_n} \leq \lim_n \lambda_n \max_{1 \leq i \leq c} \|Z_i\|^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, for any subsequence  $\{j_n\} \subset \{i_n\}$  with  $\lim_n j_n = a \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $\lim_n h_{j_n} = 0$ .

This shows that  $\lim_n h_{i_n} = 0$ .

## Proof of (a)

Since  $Z^\tau Z$  depends on  $n$ , we denote  $(Z^\tau Z)^-$  by  $A_n$ .

Let  $i_n$  be the integer such that  $h_{i_n} = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_i$ .

If  $\lim_n i_n = \infty$ , then

$$\lim_n h_{i_n} = \lim_n Z_{i_n}^\tau A_n Z_{i_n} \leq \lim_n Z_{i_n}^\tau A_{i_n} Z_{i_n} = 0,$$

where the inequality follows from  $i_n \leq n$  and, thus,  $A_{i_n} - A_n$  is nonnegative definite.

If  $i_n \leq c$  for all  $n$ , then

$$\lim_n h_{i_n} = \lim_n Z_{i_n}^\tau A_n Z_{i_n} \leq \lim_n \lambda_n \max_{1 \leq i \leq c} \|Z_i\|^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, for any subsequence  $\{j_n\} \subset \{i_n\}$  with  $\lim_n j_n = a \in (0, \infty]$ ,  $\lim_n h_{j_n} = 0$ .

This shows that  $\lim_n h_{i_n} = 0$ .

## Example: simple linear model

In Example 3.12,

$$X_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t_i + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

If  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i^2 \rightarrow c$  and  $n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n t_i \rightarrow d$  where  $c$  is positive and  $c > d^2$ , then condition (b) in Lemma 3.3 is satisfied with  $a_n = n$  and, therefore, Theorem 3.12 applies.

## Example: one-way ANOVA

In the one-way ANOVA model (Example 3.13),

$$X_i = \mu_j + \varepsilon_i, \quad i = k_{j-1} + 1, \dots, k_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, m,$$

where  $k_0 = 0$ ,  $k_j = \sum_{l=1}^j n_l$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m) = \beta$ ,

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} Z_i^T (Z^T Z)^{-1} Z_i = \lambda_+ [(Z^T Z)^{-1}] = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} n_j^{-1}.$$

Conditions related to  $Z$  in Theorem 3.12 are satisfied iff  $\min_j n_j \rightarrow \infty$ . Some similar conclusions can be drawn in the two-way ANOVA model (Example 3.14).

## The weighted LSE

In the linear model

$$X = Z\beta + \varepsilon,$$

the unbiased LSE of  $I^r\beta$  may be improved by a slightly biased estimator when  $V = \text{Var}(\varepsilon)$  is not  $\sigma^2 I_n$  and the LSE is not BLUE.

Assume that  $Z$  is of full rank so that every  $I^r\beta$  is estimable.

If  $V$  is known, then the BLUE of  $I^r\beta$  is  $I^r\check{\beta}$ , where

$$\check{\beta} = (Z^T V^{-1} Z)^{-1} Z^T V^{-1} X \quad (5)$$

(see the discussion after the statement of assumption A3 in §3.3.1).

If  $V$  is unknown and  $\hat{V}$  is an estimator of  $V$ , then an application of the substitution principle leads to a *weighted least squares estimator*

$$\hat{\beta}_w = (Z^T \hat{V}^{-1} Z)^{-1} Z^T \hat{V}^{-1} X. \quad (6)$$

The weighted LSE is not linear in  $X$  and not necessarily unbiased for  $\beta$ . If the distribution of  $\varepsilon$  is symmetric about 0 and  $\hat{V}$  remains unchanged when  $\varepsilon$  changes to  $-\varepsilon$ , then the distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_w - \beta$  is symmetric about 0 and, if  $E\hat{\beta}_w$  is well defined,  $\hat{\beta}_w$  is unbiased for  $\beta$ .

## The weighted LSE

In the linear model

$$X = Z\beta + \varepsilon,$$

the unbiased LSE of  $I^r\beta$  may be improved by a slightly biased estimator when  $V = \text{Var}(\varepsilon)$  is not  $\sigma^2 I_n$  and the LSE is not BLUE.

Assume that  $Z$  is of full rank so that every  $I^r\beta$  is estimable.

If  $V$  is known, then the BLUE of  $I^r\beta$  is  $I^r\check{\beta}$ , where

$$\check{\beta} = (Z^T V^{-1} Z)^{-1} Z^T V^{-1} X \quad (5)$$

(see the discussion after the statement of assumption A3 in §3.3.1).

If  $V$  is unknown and  $\hat{V}$  is an estimator of  $V$ , then an application of the substitution principle leads to a *weighted least squares estimator*

$$\hat{\beta}_w = (Z^T \hat{V}^{-1} Z)^{-1} Z^T \hat{V}^{-1} X. \quad (6)$$

The weighted LSE is not linear in  $X$  and not necessarily unbiased for  $\beta$ . If the distribution of  $\varepsilon$  is symmetric about 0 and  $\hat{V}$  remains unchanged when  $\varepsilon$  changes to  $-\varepsilon$ , then the distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_w - \beta$  is symmetric about 0 and, if  $E\hat{\beta}_w$  is well defined,  $\hat{\beta}_w$  is unbiased for  $\beta$ .

## The weighted LSE

In the linear model

$$X = Z\beta + \varepsilon,$$

the unbiased LSE of  $I^r\beta$  may be improved by a slightly biased estimator when  $V = \text{Var}(\varepsilon)$  is not  $\sigma^2 I_n$  and the LSE is not BLUE.

Assume that  $Z$  is of full rank so that every  $I^r\beta$  is estimable.

If  $V$  is known, then the BLUE of  $I^r\beta$  is  $I^r\check{\beta}$ , where

$$\check{\beta} = (Z^T V^{-1} Z)^{-1} Z^T V^{-1} X \quad (5)$$

(see the discussion after the statement of assumption A3 in §3.3.1).

If  $V$  is unknown and  $\hat{V}$  is an estimator of  $V$ , then an application of the substitution principle leads to a *weighted least squares estimator*

$$\hat{\beta}_w = (Z^T \hat{V}^{-1} Z)^{-1} Z^T \hat{V}^{-1} X. \quad (6)$$

The weighted LSE is not linear in  $X$  and not necessarily unbiased for  $\beta$ . If the distribution of  $\varepsilon$  is symmetric about 0 and  $\hat{V}$  remains unchanged when  $\varepsilon$  changes to  $-\varepsilon$ , then the distribution of  $\hat{\beta}_w - \beta$  is symmetric about 0 and, if  $E\hat{\beta}_w$  is well defined,  $\hat{\beta}_w$  is unbiased for  $\beta$ .

If the weighted LSE  $I^\tau \widehat{\beta}_w$  is unbiased, then the LSE  $I^\tau \widehat{\beta}$  may not be a BLUE, since  $\text{Var}(I^\tau \widehat{\beta}_w)$  may be smaller than  $\text{Var}(I^\tau \widehat{\beta})$ .

Asymptotic properties of the weighted LSE depend on the asymptotic behavior of  $\widehat{V}$ .

We say that  $\widehat{V}$  is consistent for  $V$  iff

$$\|\widehat{V}^{-1} V - I_n\|_{\max} \rightarrow_p 0, \quad (7)$$

where  $\|A\|_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$  for a matrix  $A$  whose  $(i,j)$ th element is  $a_{ij}$ .

### Theorem 3.17

Consider model (1) with a full rank  $Z$ . Let  $\check{\beta}$  and  $\widehat{\beta}_w$  be defined by (5) and (6), respectively, with a  $\widehat{V}$  consistent in the sense of (7).

Under the conditions in Theorem 3.12,

$$I^\tau (\widehat{\beta}_w - \beta) / a_n \rightarrow_d N(0, 1),$$

where  $l \in \mathcal{R}^p$ ,  $l \neq 0$ , and

$$a_n^2 = \text{Var}(I^\tau \check{\beta}) = I^\tau (Z^\tau V^{-1} Z)^{-1} l.$$

If the weighted LSE  $I^\tau \hat{\beta}_w$  is unbiased, then the LSE  $I^\tau \hat{\beta}$  may not be a BLUE, since  $\text{Var}(I^\tau \hat{\beta}_w)$  may be smaller than  $\text{Var}(I^\tau \hat{\beta})$ .

Asymptotic properties of the weighted LSE depend on the asymptotic behavior of  $\hat{V}$ .

We say that  $\hat{V}$  is consistent for  $V$  iff

$$\|\hat{V}^{-1} V - I_n\|_{\max} \rightarrow_p 0, \quad (7)$$

where  $\|A\|_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$  for a matrix  $A$  whose  $(i,j)$ th element is  $a_{ij}$ .

### Theorem 3.17

Consider model (1) with a full rank  $Z$ . Let  $\check{\beta}$  and  $\hat{\beta}_w$  be defined by (5) and (6), respectively, with a  $\hat{V}$  consistent in the sense of (7).

Under the conditions in Theorem 3.12,

$$I^\tau (\hat{\beta}_w - \beta) / a_n \rightarrow_d N(0, 1),$$

where  $l \in \mathcal{R}^p$ ,  $l \neq 0$ , and

$$a_n^2 = \text{Var}(I^\tau \check{\beta}) = I^\tau (Z^\tau V^{-1} Z)^{-1} l.$$

If the weighted LSE  $I^\tau \widehat{\beta}_w$  is unbiased, then the LSE  $I^\tau \widehat{\beta}$  may not be a BLUE, since  $\text{Var}(I^\tau \widehat{\beta}_w)$  may be smaller than  $\text{Var}(I^\tau \widehat{\beta})$ .

Asymptotic properties of the weighted LSE depend on the asymptotic behavior of  $\widehat{V}$ .

We say that  $\widehat{V}$  is consistent for  $V$  iff

$$\|\widehat{V}^{-1} V - I_n\|_{\max} \rightarrow_p 0, \quad (7)$$

where  $\|A\|_{\max} = \max_{i,j} |a_{ij}|$  for a matrix  $A$  whose  $(i,j)$ th element is  $a_{ij}$ .

### Theorem 3.17

Consider model (1) with a full rank  $Z$ . Let  $\check{\beta}$  and  $\widehat{\beta}_w$  be defined by (5) and (6), respectively, with a  $\widehat{V}$  consistent in the sense of (7).

Under the conditions in Theorem 3.12,

$$I^\tau (\widehat{\beta}_w - \beta) / a_n \rightarrow_d N(0, 1),$$

where  $l \in \mathcal{R}^p$ ,  $l \neq 0$ , and

$$a_n^2 = \text{Var}(I^\tau \check{\beta}) = I^\tau (Z^\tau V^{-1} Z)^{-1} l.$$

## Proof

Using the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.12, we obtain that

$$I^\tau(\check{\beta} - \beta)/a_n \rightarrow_d N(0, 1).$$

By Slutsky's theorem, the result follows from

$$I^\tau \hat{\beta}_w - I^\tau \check{\beta} = o_p(a_n).$$

Define

$$\xi_n = I^\tau (Z^\tau \hat{V}^{-1} Z)^{-1} Z^\tau (\hat{V}^{-1} - V^{-1}) \varepsilon$$

and

$$\zeta_n = I^\tau [(Z^\tau \hat{V}^{-1} Z)^{-1} - (Z^\tau V^{-1} Z)^{-1}] Z^\tau V^{-1} \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$I^\tau \hat{\beta}_w - I^\tau \check{\beta} = \xi_n + \zeta_n.$$

The result follows from  $\xi_n = o_p(a_n)$  and  $\zeta_n = o_p(a_n)$  (details are in the textbook).

## Remarks

- Theorem 3.17 shows that as long as  $\widehat{V}$  is consistent in the sense of (7), the weighted LSE  $\widehat{\beta}_w$  is asymptotically as efficient as  $\check{\beta}$ , which is the BLUE if  $V$  is known.
- By Theorems 3.12 and 3.17, the asymptotic relative efficiency of the LSE  $I^\tau \widehat{\beta}$  w.r.t. the weighted LSE  $I^\tau \widehat{\beta}_w$  is

$$\frac{I^\tau (Z^\tau V^{-1} Z)^{-1} I}{I^\tau (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} Z^\tau V Z (Z^\tau Z)^{-1} I},$$

which is always less than 1 and equals 1 if  $I^\tau \widehat{\beta}$  is a BLUE (in which case  $\widehat{\beta} = \check{\beta}$ ).

- Finding a consistent  $\widehat{V}$  is possible when  $V$  has a certain type of structure.

### Example 3.29

Consider model (1).

Suppose that  $V = \text{Var}(\varepsilon)$  is a block diagonal matrix with the  $i$ th diagonal block

$$\sigma^2 I_{m_i} + U_i \Sigma U_i^T, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad (8)$$

where  $m_i$ 's are integers bounded by a fixed integer  $m$ ,  $\sigma^2 > 0$  is an unknown parameter,  $\Sigma$  is a  $q \times q$  unknown nonnegative definite matrix,  $U_i$  is an  $m_i \times q$  full rank matrix whose columns are in  $\mathcal{R}(W_i)$ ,  $q < \inf_i m_i$ , and  $W_i$  is the  $p \times m_i$  matrix such that  $Z^T = (W_1 \ W_2 \ \dots \ W_k)$ .

Under (8), a consistent  $\hat{V}$  can be obtained if we can obtain consistent estimators of  $\sigma^2$  and  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $X = (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ , where  $Y_i$  is an  $m_i$ -vector, and let  $R_i$  be the matrix whose columns are linearly independent rows of  $W_i$ .

Then

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - kq} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i^T [I_{m_i} - R_i (R_i^T R_i)^{-1} R_i^T] Y_i$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

### Example 3.29

Consider model (1).

Suppose that  $V = \text{Var}(\varepsilon)$  is a block diagonal matrix with the  $i$ th diagonal block

$$\sigma^2 I_{m_i} + U_i \Sigma U_i^\tau, \quad i = 1, \dots, k, \quad (8)$$

where  $m_i$ 's are integers bounded by a fixed integer  $m$ ,  $\sigma^2 > 0$  is an unknown parameter,  $\Sigma$  is a  $q \times q$  unknown nonnegative definite matrix,  $U_i$  is an  $m_i \times q$  full rank matrix whose columns are in  $\mathcal{R}(W_i)$ ,  $q < \inf_i m_i$ , and  $W_i$  is the  $p \times m_i$  matrix such that  $Z^\tau = (W_1 \ W_2 \ \dots \ W_k)$ .

Under (8), a consistent  $\hat{V}$  can be obtained if we can obtain consistent estimators of  $\sigma^2$  and  $\Sigma$ .

Let  $X = (Y_1, \dots, Y_k)$ , where  $Y_i$  is an  $m_i$ -vector, and let  $R_i$  be the matrix whose columns are linearly independent rows of  $W_i$ .

Then

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n - kq} \sum_{i=1}^k Y_i^\tau [I_{m_i} - R_i (R_i^\tau R_i)^{-1} R_i^\tau] Y_i$$

is an unbiased estimator of  $\sigma^2$ .

### Example 3.29 (continued)

Assume that  $Y_i$ 's are independent and that  $\sup_i E|\varepsilon_i|^{2+\delta} < \infty$  for some  $\delta > 0$ .

Then  $\hat{\sigma}^2$  is consistent for  $\sigma^2$  (exercise).

Let  $r_i = Y_i - W_i^\tau \hat{\beta}$  and

$$\hat{\Sigma} = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \left[ (U_i^\tau U_i)^{-1} U_i^\tau r_i r_i^\tau U_i (U_i^\tau U_i)^{-1} - \hat{\sigma}^2 (U_i^\tau U_i)^{-1} \right].$$

It can be shown (exercise) that  $\hat{\Sigma}$  is consistent for  $\Sigma$  in the sense that  $\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\|_{\max} \rightarrow_p 0$  or, equivalently,  $\|\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma\| \rightarrow_p 0$  (see Exercise 116).