

Stat 709: Mathematical Statistics

Lecture 18

Jun Shao

Department of Statistics
University of Wisconsin
Madison, WI 53706, USA

Lecture 18: Exponential families and location-scale families

Two important types of parametric families in statistical applications:
Exponential families and location-scale families

Definition 2.2 (Exponential families)

A parametric family $\{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$ dominated by a σ -finite measure ν on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is called an *exponential family* iff

$$\frac{dP_\theta}{d\nu}(\omega) = \exp\{[\eta(\theta)]^\tau T(\omega) - \xi(\theta)\} h(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

where $\exp\{x\} = e^x$, T is a random p -vector with a fixed positive integer p , η is a function from Θ to \mathcal{R}^p , h is a nonnegative Borel function on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , and

$$\xi(\theta) = \log \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \exp\{[\eta(\theta)]^\tau T(\omega)\} h(\omega) d\nu(\omega) \right\}.$$

Lecture 18: Exponential families and location-scale families

Two important types of parametric families in statistical applications: Exponential families and location-scale families

Definition 2.2 (Exponential families)

A parametric family $\{P_\theta : \theta \in \Theta\}$ dominated by a σ -finite measure ν on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is called an *exponential family* iff

$$\frac{dP_\theta}{d\nu}(\omega) = \exp\{[\eta(\theta)]^\tau T(\omega) - \xi(\theta)\} h(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

where $\exp\{x\} = e^x$, T is a random p -vector with a fixed positive integer p , η is a function from Θ to \mathcal{R}^p , h is a nonnegative Borel function on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) , and

$$\xi(\theta) = \log \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \exp\{[\eta(\theta)]^\tau T(\omega)\} h(\omega) d\nu(\omega) \right\}.$$

Remarks

- In Definition 2.2, T and h are functions of ω only, whereas η and ξ are functions of θ only.
- The representation

$$\frac{dP_\theta}{d\nu}(\omega) = \exp\{[\eta(\theta)]^\tau T(\omega) - \xi(\theta)\} h(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega$$

of an exponential family is not unique.

$\tilde{\eta}(\theta) = D\eta(\theta)$ with a $p \times p$ nonsingular matrix D gives another representation (with T replaced by $\tilde{T} = (D^\tau)^{-1} T$).

- A change of the measure that dominates the family also changes the representation.

If we define $\lambda(A) = \int_A h d\nu$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}$, then we obtain an exponential family with densities

$$\frac{dP_\theta}{d\lambda}(\omega) = \exp\{[\eta(\theta)]^\tau T(\omega) - \xi(\theta)\}.$$

Terminology

- In an exponential family, consider the reparameterization $\eta = \eta(\theta)$ and

$$f_{\eta}(\omega) = \exp\{\eta^{\tau} T(\omega) - \zeta(\eta)\} h(\omega), \quad \omega \in \Omega,$$

where $\zeta(\eta) = \log \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \exp\{\eta^{\tau} T(\omega)\} h(\omega) d\nu(\omega) \right\}$.

This is called the *canonical form* for the family (not unique).

- The new parameter η is called the *natural parameter*.
- The new parameter space $\Xi = \{\eta(\theta) : \theta \in \Theta\}$, a subset of \mathcal{R}^p , is called the *natural parameter space*.
- An exponential family in canonical form is called a *natural exponential family*.
- If there is an open set contained in the natural parameter space of an exponential family, then the family is said to be of *full rank*.

Example 2.6

The normal family $\{N(\mu, \sigma^2) : \mu \in \mathcal{R}, \sigma > 0\}$ is an exponential family, since the Lebesgue p.d.f. of $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} x - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} x^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} - \log \sigma \right\}.$$

This belongs to an exponential family with $T(x) = (x, -x^2)$, $\eta(\theta) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right)$, $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$, $\xi(\theta) = \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} + \log \sigma$, and $h(x) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$.

Let $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right)$.

Then $\Xi = \mathcal{R} \times (0, \infty)$ and we can obtain a natural exponential family of full rank with $\zeta(\eta) = \eta_1^2/(4\eta_2) + \log(1/\sqrt{2\eta_2})$.

A subfamily of the previous normal family, $\{N(\mu, \mu^2) : \mu \in \mathcal{R}, \mu \neq 0\}$, is also an exponential family with the natural parameter $\eta = \left(\frac{1}{\mu}, \frac{1}{2\mu^2}\right)$ and natural parameter space $\Xi = \{(x, y) : y = 2x^2, x \in \mathcal{R}, y > 0\}$. This exponential family is not of full rank.

Example 2.6

The normal family $\{N(\mu, \sigma^2) : \mu \in \mathcal{R}, \sigma > 0\}$ is an exponential family, since the Lebesgue p.d.f. of $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp \left\{ \frac{\mu}{\sigma^2} x - \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} x^2 - \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} - \log \sigma \right\}.$$

This belongs to an exponential family with $T(x) = (x, -x^2)$, $\eta(\theta) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right)$, $\theta = (\mu, \sigma^2)$, $\xi(\theta) = \frac{\mu^2}{2\sigma^2} + \log \sigma$, and $h(x) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi}$.

Let $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2) = \left(\frac{\mu}{\sigma^2}, \frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\right)$.

Then $\Xi = \mathcal{R} \times (0, \infty)$ and we can obtain a natural exponential family of full rank with $\zeta(\eta) = \eta_1^2/(4\eta_2) + \log(1/\sqrt{2\eta_2})$.

A subfamily of the previous normal family, $\{N(\mu, \mu^2) : \mu \in \mathcal{R}, \mu \neq 0\}$, is also an exponential family with the natural parameter $\eta = \left(\frac{1}{\mu}, \frac{1}{2\mu^2}\right)$ and natural parameter space $\Xi = \{(x, y) : y = 2x^2, x \in \mathcal{R}, y > 0\}$.

This exponential family is not of full rank.

Properties

For an exponential family, there is a nonzero measure λ such that

$$\frac{dP_\theta}{d\lambda}(\omega) = \exp\{[\eta(\theta)]^\tau T(\omega) - \xi(\theta)\} > 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \text{ and } \theta. \quad (1)$$

We can use this fact to show that a family of distributions is not an exponential family.

Consider the family of uniform distributions, i.e., P_θ is $U(0, \theta)$ with an unknown $\theta \in (0, \infty)$.

If $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in (0, \infty)\}$ is an exponential family, then (1) holds with a nonzero measure λ .

For any $t > 0$, there is a $\theta < t$ such that $P_\theta([t, \infty)) = 0$, which with (1) implies that $\lambda([t, \infty)) = 0$.

Also, for any $t \leq 0$, $P_\theta((-\infty, t]) = 0$, which with (1) implies that $\lambda((-\infty, t]) = 0$.

Since t is arbitrary, $\lambda \equiv 0$.

This contradiction implies that \mathcal{P} cannot be an exponential family.

Which of the parametric families from Tables 1.1 and 1.2 are exponential families?

Properties

For an exponential family, there is a nonzero measure λ such that

$$\frac{dP_\theta}{d\lambda}(\omega) = \exp\{\eta(\theta)^T T(\omega) - \xi(\theta)\} > 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \text{ and } \theta. \quad (1)$$

We can use this fact to show that a family of distributions is not an exponential family.

Consider the family of uniform distributions, i.e., P_θ is $U(0, \theta)$ with an unknown $\theta \in (0, \infty)$.

If $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in (0, \infty)\}$ is an exponential family, then (1) holds with a nonzero measure λ .

For any $t > 0$, there is a $\theta < t$ such that $P_\theta([t, \infty)) = 0$, which with (1) implies that $\lambda([t, \infty)) = 0$.

Also, for any $t \leq 0$, $P_\theta((-\infty, t]) = 0$, which with (1) implies that $\lambda((-\infty, t]) = 0$.

Since t is arbitrary, $\lambda \equiv 0$.

This contradiction implies that \mathcal{P} cannot be an exponential family.

Which of the parametric families from Tables 1.1 and 1.2 are exponential families?

Properties

For an exponential family, there is a nonzero measure λ such that

$$\frac{dP_\theta}{d\lambda}(\omega) = \exp\{\eta(\theta)^T T(\omega) - \xi(\theta)\} > 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \text{ and } \theta. \quad (1)$$

We can use this fact to show that a family of distributions is not an exponential family.

Consider the family of uniform distributions, i.e., P_θ is $U(0, \theta)$ with an unknown $\theta \in (0, \infty)$.

If $\mathcal{P} = \{P_\theta : \theta \in (0, \infty)\}$ is an exponential family, then (1) holds with a nonzero measure λ .

For any $t > 0$, there is a $\theta < t$ such that $P_\theta([t, \infty)) = 0$, which with (1) implies that $\lambda([t, \infty)) = 0$.

Also, for any $t \leq 0$, $P_\theta((-\infty, t]) = 0$, which with (1) implies that $\lambda((-\infty, t]) = 0$.

Since t is arbitrary, $\lambda \equiv 0$.

This contradiction implies that \mathcal{P} cannot be an exponential family.

Which of the parametric families from Tables 1.1 and 1.2 are exponential families?

Example 2.7 (The multinomial family).

Consider an experiment having $k + 1$ possible outcomes with p_i as the probability for the i th outcome, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$, $\sum_{i=0}^k p_i = 1$.

In n independent trials of this experiment, let X_i be the number of trials resulting in the i th outcome, $i = 0, 1, \dots, k$.

Then the joint p.d.f. (w.r.t. counting measure) of (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k) is

$$f_{\theta}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = \frac{n!}{x_0! x_1! \cdots x_k!} p_0^{x_0} p_1^{x_1} \cdots p_k^{x_k} I_B(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k),$$

where $B = \{(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) : x_i\text{'s are integers } \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^k x_i = n\}$ and $\theta = (p_0, p_1, \dots, p_k)$.

The distribution of (X_0, X_1, \dots, X_k) is called the *multinomial* distribution, which is an extension of the binomial distribution.

In fact, the marginal c.d.f. of each X_i is the binomial distribution $Bi(p_i, n)$.

$\mathcal{P} = \{f_{\theta} : \theta \in \Theta\}$ is called the multinomial family, where

$$\Theta = \{\theta \in \mathcal{R}^{k+1} : 0 < p_i < 1, \sum_{i=0}^k p_i = 1\}.$$

Example 2.7 (continued)

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k)$, $\boldsymbol{\eta} = (\log p_0, \log p_1, \dots, \log p_k)$, and $h(\mathbf{x}) = [n! / (x_0! x_1! \cdots x_k!)] I_B(\mathbf{x})$.

Then

$$f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = \exp\{\boldsymbol{\eta}^T \mathbf{x}\} h(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^{k+1}.$$

Hence, the multinomial family is a natural exponential family with natural parameter $\boldsymbol{\eta}$.

However, this representation does not provide an exponential family of full rank, since there is no open set of \mathcal{R}^{k+1} contained in the natural parameter space.

A reparameterization leads to an exponential family with full rank. Using the fact that $\sum_{i=0}^k x_i = n$ and $\sum_{i=0}^k p_i = 1$, we obtain that

$$f_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k) = \exp\{\boldsymbol{\eta}_*^T \mathbf{x}_* - \zeta(\boldsymbol{\eta}_*)\} h(\mathbf{x}), \quad \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{R}^{k+1},$$

where $\mathbf{x}_* = (x_1, \dots, x_k)$, $\boldsymbol{\eta}_* = (\log(p_1/p_0), \dots, \log(p_k/p_0))$, and $\zeta(\boldsymbol{\eta}_*) = -n \log p_0$.

The $\boldsymbol{\eta}_*$ -parameter space is \mathcal{R}^k .

Hence, the family \mathcal{P} is a natural exponential family of full rank.

Properties

If X_1, \dots, X_m are independent random vectors with p.d.f.'s in exponential families, then the p.d.f. of (X_1, \dots, X_m) is again in an exponential family.

The following result summarizes some other useful properties of exponential families.

Its proof can be found in Lehmann (1986).

Theorem 2.1

Let \mathcal{P} be a natural exponential family with p.d.f.

$$f_{\eta}(x) = \exp\{\eta^{\tau} T(x) - \zeta(\eta)\} h(x)$$

- (i) Let $T = (Y, U)$ and $\eta = (\vartheta, \phi)$, where Y and ϑ have the same dimension.

Then, Y has the p.d.f.

$$f_{\eta}(y) = \exp\{\vartheta^{\tau} y - \zeta(\eta)\}$$

w.r.t. a σ -finite measure depending on ϕ .

In particular, T has a p.d.f. in a natural exponential family.

Properties

If X_1, \dots, X_m are independent random vectors with p.d.f.'s in exponential families, then the p.d.f. of (X_1, \dots, X_m) is again in an exponential family.

The following result summarizes some other useful properties of exponential families.

Its proof can be found in Lehmann (1986).

Theorem 2.1

Let \mathcal{P} be a natural exponential family with p.d.f.

$$f_{\eta}(\mathbf{x}) = \exp\{\eta^{\tau} T(\mathbf{x}) - \zeta(\eta)\} h(\mathbf{x})$$

- (i) Let $T = (Y, U)$ and $\eta = (\vartheta, \varphi)$, where Y and ϑ have the same dimension.

Then, Y has the p.d.f.

$$f_{\eta}(y) = \exp\{\vartheta^{\tau} y - \zeta(\eta)\}$$

w.r.t. a σ -finite measure depending on φ .

In particular, T has a p.d.f. in a natural exponential family.

Theorem 2.1 (continued)

- (i) Furthermore, the conditional distribution of Y given $U = u$ has the p.d.f. (w.r.t. a σ -finite measure depending on u)

$$f_{\vartheta, u}(y) = \exp\{\vartheta^\tau y - \zeta_u(\vartheta)\},$$

which is in a natural exponential family indexed by ϑ .

- (ii) If η_0 is an interior point of the natural parameter space, then the m.g.f. ψ_{η_0} of $P_{\eta_0} \circ T^{-1}$ is finite in a neighborhood of 0 and is given by

$$\psi_{\eta_0}(t) = \exp\{\zeta(\eta_0 + t) - \zeta(\eta_0)\}.$$

Furthermore, if f is a Borel function satisfying $\int |f| dP_{\eta_0} < \infty$, then the function

$$\int f(\omega) \exp\{\eta^\tau T(\omega)\} h(\omega) d\nu(\omega)$$

is infinitely often differentiable in a neighborhood of η_0 , and the derivatives may be computed by differentiation under the integral sign.

Example 2.5

Let P_θ be the binomial distribution $Bi(\theta, n)$ with parameter θ , where n is a fixed positive integer.

Then $\{P_\theta : \theta \in (0, 1)\}$ is an exponential family, since the p.d.f. of P_θ w.r.t. the counting measure is

$$f_\theta(x) = \exp \left\{ x \log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta} + n \log(1-\theta) \right\} \binom{n}{x} I_{\{0,1,\dots,n\}}(x)$$

($T(x) = x$, $\eta(\theta) = \log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$, $\xi(\theta) = -n \log(1-\theta)$, and

$h(x) = \binom{n}{x} I_{\{0,1,\dots,n\}}(x)$).

If we let $\eta = \log \frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$, then $\Xi = \mathcal{R}$ and the family with p.d.f.'s

$$f_\eta(x) = \exp \{ x\eta - n \log(1 + e^\eta) \} \binom{n}{x} I_{\{0,1,\dots,n\}}(x)$$

is a natural exponential family of full rank.

From Theorem 2.1(ii), the m.g.f. of the binomial distribution $Bi(\theta, n)$ is

$$\exp \{ n \log(1 + e^{\eta+t}) - n \log(1 + e^\eta) \} = \left(\frac{1 + e^\eta e^t}{1 + e^\eta} \right)^n = (1 - \theta + \theta e^t)^n.$$

Definition 2.3 (Location-scale families)

Let P be a known probability measure on $(\mathcal{R}^k, \mathcal{B}^k)$, $\mathcal{V} \subset \mathcal{R}^k$, and \mathcal{M}_k be a collection of $k \times k$ symmetric positive definite matrices.

The family

$$\{P_{(\mu, \Sigma)} : \mu \in \mathcal{V}, \Sigma \in \mathcal{M}_k\}$$

is called a *location-scale family* (on \mathcal{R}^k), where

$$P_{(\mu, \Sigma)}(B) = P\left(\Sigma^{-1/2}(B - \mu)\right), \quad B \in \mathcal{B}^k,$$

$\Sigma^{-1/2}(B - \mu) = \{\Sigma^{-1/2}(x - \mu) : x \in B\} \subset \mathcal{R}^k$, and $\Sigma^{-1/2}$ is the inverse of the “square root” matrix $\Sigma^{1/2}$ satisfying $\Sigma^{1/2}\Sigma^{1/2} = \Sigma$.

The parameters μ and $\Sigma^{1/2}$ are called the location and scale parameters, respectively.

Important examples of location-scale families.

- The family $\{P_{(\mu, I_k)} : \mu \in \mathcal{R}^k\}$ is a *location family*, where I_k is the $k \times k$ identity matrix.
- The family $\{P_{(0, \Sigma)} : \Sigma \in \mathcal{M}_k\}$ is a *scale family*.
- In some cases, we consider a location-scale family of the form $\{P_{(\mu, \sigma^2 I_k)} : \mu \in \mathcal{R}^k, \sigma > 0\}$.
An example is given later.
- Many families of distributions in Table 1.2 (§1.3.1) are location, scale, or location-scale families.
- The family of exponential distributions $E(a, \theta)$ is a location-scale family on \mathcal{R} with location parameter a and scale parameter θ .
- The family of uniform distributions $U(0, \theta)$ is a scale family on \mathcal{R} with a scale parameter θ .
- The k -dimensional normal family is a location-scale family on \mathcal{R}^k .

Properties

- How to generate a location-scale family?

Let X be a random k -vector having a distribution P .

Then the distribution of $\Sigma^{1/2}X + \mu$ is $P_{(\mu, \Sigma)}$.

- On the other hand, if X is a random k -vector whose distribution is in a location-scale family, then the distribution $DX + c$ is also in the same family, provided that $D\mu + c \in \mathcal{V}$ and $D\Sigma D^T \in \mathcal{M}_k$.
- If X_1, \dots, X_k are i.i.d. with a common distribution in the location-scale family $\{P_{(\mu, \sigma^2)} : \mu \in \mathcal{R}, \sigma > 0\}$, then the joint distribution of the vector (X_1, \dots, X_k) is in the location-scale family $\{P_{(\mu, \sigma^2 I_k)} : \mu \in \mathcal{V}, \sigma > 0\}$ with $\mathcal{V} = \{(x, \dots, x) \in \mathcal{R}^k : x \in \mathcal{R}\}$.
- Let F be the c.d.f. of P .
Then the c.d.f. of $P_{(\mu, \Sigma)}$ is $F(\Sigma^{-1/2}(x - \mu))$, $x \in \mathcal{R}^k$.
- If F has a Lebesgue p.d.f. f , then the Lebesgue p.d.f. of $P_{(\mu, \Sigma)}$ is $\text{Det}(\Sigma^{-1/2})f(\Sigma^{-1/2}(x - \mu))$, $x \in \mathcal{R}^k$ (Proposition 1.8)