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A smoothing spline regression model assumes that (Wahba, 1990)

\[ y_i = f(t_i) + \epsilon_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, n \]

- The domain of the function \( T \) is an arbitrary set
- \( f \) belongs to a Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space (RKHS) \( H \)
- \( \epsilon_i \sim iid N(0, \sigma^2) \)
Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space

**Definition** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space of real-valued functions from $\mathcal{T}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. For a fixed $t \in \mathcal{T}$, the *evaluational functional* $L_t : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$L_t f = f(t)$$

**Definition** A Hilbert space of real-valued functions $\mathcal{H}$ is a RKHS if every evaluational functional is continuous.

**Fact** For every RKHS there exist a bivariate function $R(s, t)$ such that

$$(R(t, \cdot), f) = f(t)$$

**Definition** The bivariate function $R(s, t)$ is called the *reproducing kernel* of the RKHS.
Some Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Polynomial spline</th>
<th>Periodic spline</th>
<th>Thin-plate spline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>$[0, 1]$</td>
<td>unit circle</td>
<td>$\mathbb{R}^d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mathcal{H}$</td>
<td>$W_2^m[0, 1]$</td>
<td>$W_2^m(\text{per})$</td>
<td>$L_2^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$W_2^m[0, 1] = \{ f : f, \ldots, f^{(m-1)} \text{ are absolutely continuous, } \int_0^1 (f^{(m)})^2 dt < \infty \}$

$W_2^m(\text{per}) = \{ f : f^{(j)} \text{ are absolutely continuous, } f^{(j)}(0) = f^{(j)}(1), j = 0, \ldots, m-1, \int_0^1 (f^{(m-1)})^2 < \infty \}$

$L_2^m(\mathbb{R}^d) = \{ f : \sum_{\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_d = m} \frac{m!}{\alpha_1! \cdots \alpha_d!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left( \frac{\partial^m f}{\partial x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \partial x_d^{\alpha_d}} \right)^2 \prod_{j=1}^{d} dx_j < \infty \}$

$2m - d > 0$
Some Notations

Let

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \text{span}\{\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_M\}$$

be the *null space* consisting functions that will not be penalized. Let

$$\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H} \ominus \mathcal{H}_0.$$ 

Denote $R_1(s, t)$ as the *reproducing kernel* of $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $P_1$ as the orthogonal projection of $f$ onto $\mathcal{H}_1$.

The choices of $\mathcal{H}_0$, $\mathcal{H}_1$ and $P_1$ depend on

- domain of the function $T$
- prior knowledge such as smoothness
- purpose of the study

For commonly used splines, the forms of $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_M$, $R_1$ and $P_1$ are known.
## Some Examples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\phi_1, \ldots, \phi_M$</th>
<th>$R_1$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cubic spline $W_2^2[0, 1]$</td>
<td>$1, t$</td>
<td>$\int_0^{\min(s, t)} (s - u)(t - u)du$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic periodic spline $W_2^2(\text{per})$</td>
<td>$1$</td>
<td>$\sum_{v=1}^{\infty} 2 \cos 2\pi v (s - t)/(2\pi)^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thin-plate spline $L^2_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$</td>
<td>$1, t_1, t_2$</td>
<td>$|s - t|^2 \ln |s - t|$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Estimation for Smoothing Spline Regression

The *penalized least squares* (PLS) estimate of $f$ is the solution to

$$\min_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - f(t_i))^2 + \lambda \| P_1 f \|^2 \right\}$$

- The first part (LS) measures the goodness-of-fit
- The second part penalizes the departure to the null space $\mathcal{H}_0$
- $\lambda$ controls the trade-off between goodness-of-fit and the departure to $\mathcal{H}_0$
Kimeldorf-Wahba Representer Theorem

Fixed $\lambda$, the solution to the PLS has the form

$$\hat{f}_\lambda(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{M} d_i \phi_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} c_j R_1(t_j, t)$$

Let $T_{n \times M} = \{ \phi_v(t_i) \}_{i=1}^{n} \{ \phi_v \}_{v=1}^{M}$ and $\Sigma = \{ R_1(t_i, t_j) \}_{i,j=1}^{n}$. The coefficients $\mathbf{d} = (d_1, \ldots, d_M)^T$ and $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, \ldots, c_n)^T$ are solutions to

$$(\Sigma + n\lambda I)\mathbf{c} + Td = y$$

$$T^T \mathbf{c} = 0$$
Estimate of the Smoothing Parameter

The choice of the smoothing parameter $\lambda$ is critical to the performance of a spline estimate. Three data-adaptive methods, Generalized Cross Validation (GCV), Generalized Maximum Likelihood (GML) and Unbiased Risk (UBR), estimate $\lambda$ as the minimizers of the following functions:

$$GCV(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} \frac{1}{\left[ \frac{1}{n} tr(I - A(\lambda)) \right]^2} \left[ \|(I - A(\lambda))y\|^2 \right]$$

$$GML(\lambda) = \frac{y^T(I - A(\lambda))y}{\left[ \det^+((I - A(\lambda))) \right]^{1/(n-M)}}$$

$$U(\lambda) = \frac{1}{n} \left\| (I - A(\lambda))y \right\|^2 + \frac{2\sigma^2}{n} trA(\lambda)$$

where $A(\lambda)$ is the hat matrix.
The `ssr` Function

To fit a smoothing spline regression model, we need to specify

- basis functions $\phi_1, \cdots, \phi_M$ of the null space $\mathcal{H}_0$
- Reproducing kernel $R_1(s, t)$ of $\mathcal{H}_1$

`ssr(formula, rk, spar)`

**Required**

- `formula` specifies the response on the left of a `~` operator, and bases $\phi_1(t), \cdots, \phi_M(t)$ on the right
- `rk` specifies $R_1(s, t)$. Expressions of commonly used reproducing kernels are available. Users can easily add their own kernels

**Optional**

- `spar` specifies the method for selecting the smoothing parameter(s). The default is the GCV
- There are many other options
Ozone Data

We use a ozone data set to illustrate the `ssr` function. Monthly mean ozone thickness (Dobson units) in Arosa, Switzerland from 1926 to 1971 were recorded. First, we ignore the year effect and investigate how ozone thickness changes in a year.

```r
> library(assist)
> data(Arosa)
> attach(Arosa)
> Arosa[1:10,]
  year month thick
  1   1   1    312
  2   1   2    300
  3   1   3    281
  .   .   .    .
  .   .   .    .
  .   .   .    .
```
Fit a Cubic Spline

```r
> fit1 <- ssr(thick~month, rk=cubic(month), scale=T, spar="m", data=Arosa)
```

- **cubic**: function calculates the RK for a cubic spline on [0, 1]
- **scale=T**: transforms the `month` variable into [0, 1]
- **spar="m"**: use the GML method to choose the smoothing parameter
Summarizing \texttt{ssr} Fits

```r
> summary(fit1)

Smoothing spline regression fit by GML method
Call: \texttt{ssr(formula=thick~month, rk=cubic(month),}
\texttt{ data=Arosa, scale=T, spar='m')}"

Coefficients (d):
 (Intercept)  month
  326.01578  21.33211

GML estimate(s) of smoothing parameter(s) : 
  2.149083e-06

Equivalent Degrees of Freedom (DF):  9.566868
Estimate of sigma:  16.79393

\[ DF = tr(A(\hat{\lambda})) \]
Fit a Periodic Spline

Since the mean function is periodic, it is more natural to fit a periodic spline.

\[
\text{csmonth} \leftarrow \frac{(\text{Arosa$\cdot$month}-0.5)}{12}
\]

\[
\text{fit2} \leftarrow \text{ssr(\text{thick}\sim1, \text{rk}=\text{periodic(\text{csmonth}), data=Arosa})}
\]

- **csmonth**: transform of month variable into \([0, 1]\)
- **periodic**: function calculates the RK for a periodic spline on \([0, 1]\)
- Use the default method (GCV) to select the smoothing parameter
Fits and Confidence Intervals

- spline fit
- 95% Bayes CI
- Sin–Cos fit

Month: J F M A M J J A S O N D

Thickness: 300 350 400
To check if the simple sine-cosine model fits the data adequately, one may use the following *partial spline* model

$$\text{thickness}(\text{csmonth}) = \mu + \beta_1 \sin(2\pi \text{csmonth}) + \beta_2 \cos(2\pi \text{csmonth}) + f(\text{csmonth}) + \epsilon(\text{csmonth}),$$

- Sine and cosine functions are added to the null space
- $f \in W^2_2(\text{per}) \ominus \{1\}$ represents part of the function that is not explained by the simple sine-cosine model
**Fit the Partial Spline and Test**

```r
> fit3<-ssr(thick~sin(2*pi*csmonth)+cos(2*pi*csmonth),
    rk=periodic(csmonth), spar='m', data=Arosa)
> anova(fit3,simu.size=500)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>test.value</th>
<th>simu.size</th>
<th>simu.p-value</th>
<th>approx.p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LMP</td>
<td>0.001262064</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.490208e-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GML</td>
<td>0.9553638</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

- **anova**: test the hypothesis that the unknown function lies in the null space, i.e. $f = 0$
- **simu.size**: the number of simulations to calculate $p$-values based on simulation
- **LMP**: locally most powerful (score) test
- **GML**: generalized maximum likelihood (likelihood ratio) test
A New Penalty

- The penalty for the above partial spline is
  \[ \| P_1 f \|^2 = \int_0^1 (f'')^2 \, dt \]

- \( P_1 f \neq 0 \) for sine and cosine functions

- The parametric part of the partial spline model is not orthogonal to \( W_2^2(per) \ominus \{1\} \)

- A more efficient approach to test the parametric model is to use a periodic \( L\)-spline model with penalty
  \[ \| P_1 f \|^2 = \int_0^1 (Lf)^2 \, dt \]

where \( L = D[D^2 + (2\pi)^2] \). It is easy to see that \( Lf = 0 \) for sine and cosine functions
Periodic $L$-Spline Model

\[
\text{thickness}(\text{csmonth}) = \mu + \beta_1 \sin(2\pi \text{csmonth}) + \beta_2 \cos(2\pi \text{csmonth}) + f(\text{csmonth}) + \epsilon(\text{csmonth}),
\]

\[f \in W^2_2(\text{per}) \ominus \{1, \sin(2\pi \text{csmonth}), \cos(2\pi \text{csmonth})\}\]
Fit the $L$-Spline and Test

```r
> fit4 <- update(fit3,
    rk=lspline(csmonth,type='''sine1'''))
> anova(fit4,simu.size=500)

Testing $H_0$: f in the NULL space

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>test.value</th>
<th>simu.size</th>
<th>simu.p-value</th>
<th>approx.p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LMP</td>
<td>2.5391e-06</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GML</td>
<td>0.9533696</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>0 1.164513e-12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```

- `lspline`: calculates RKs for some L-splines
- `type`: specifies the type of L-splines
Components and Confidence Intervals

![Graph showing components and confidence intervals for different fits and parameters. The graph includes lines for overall, parametric, and smooth fits, with confidence intervals indicated.]
Unequal Variances

![Graph showing log(variance) over months]

- The graph plots the log of the variance against different months.
- The variance is highest in the middle of the year, around July and August, and lowest in the winter months of January and February.
- The trend is a smooth curve with a peak in the summer months.

**Month**
- January (J)
- February (F)
- March (M)
- April (A)
- May (M)
- June (J)
- July (J)
- August (A)
- September (S)
- October (O)
- November (N)
- December (D)
Fit a L-spline Model With Unequal Variances

The line represents fits from a simple sine and cosine model. It suggests the following variance function

\[
\text{Var}(\epsilon(\text{csmonth})) = \sigma^2 \exp\{2[a \sin(2\pi \text{csmonth}) + b \cos(2\pi \text{csmonth})]\}
\]

\[
> \text{fit5} <- \text{update(fit4, weights=varComb(varExp(form=~sin(2*pi*csmonth)), varExp(form=~cos(2*pi*csmonth))))}
\]

- **weights**: a vector or a matrix specifying known weights for weighted smoothing, or a varFunc structure specifying a variance function structure
- **varFunc structures**: same as in nlme
> summary(fit5)
...
Coefficients (d):
  (Intercept) sin(2*pi*csmonth) cos(2*pi*csmonth)
     337.0753     -47.42951      7.773344

GML estimate(s) of smoothing parameter(s) :
  3.676933e-09

Equivalent Degrees of Freedom (DF):  6.84808
Estimate of sigma:  15.22469

Combination of:
Variance function structure of class varExp representing
  expon
     -0.3555964
Variance function structure of class varExp representing
  expon
     -0.2496355
L-Spline Fits With Unequal Variances
Correlation

Observations close in time may be correlated. Suppose that we want to use a continuous first-order autoregressive structure for random errors: the covariance between the random error of month \( i_1 \) in year \( j_1 \) and the random error of month \( i_2 \) in year \( j_2 \) is

\[
\sqrt{\sigma^2(((i_1 - .5)/12)\sigma^2(((i_2 - .5)/12)\rho|i_1-i_2|+12|j_1-j_2|)
\]

\[
> \text{Arosa}\$z \leftarrow \text{month} + 12*(\text{year}-1)
> \text{fit6} \leftarrow \text{update} (\text{fit5}, \text{corr=corCAR1(form=\sim z)})
\]

- **corr**: a corStruct object describing the correlation structure
- **corClasses**: same as in nlme
Summary

> summary(fit6)

...  
Coefficients (d):

(Intercept)  sin(2*pi*csmonth)  cos(2*pi*csmonth)
336.896826    -47.345807     7.788973

GML estimate(s) of smoothing parameter(s): 3.57509e-09  
Equivalent Degrees of Freedom (DF):  7.327918  
Estimate of sigma:  15.31094  
Correlation structure of class corCAR1 representing Phi 0.3411413  
Combination of:  
Variance function structure of class varExp representing expon -0.3602905  
Variance function structure of class varExp representing expon -0.3009272
Now consider the effects of both month and year variables. Modeling the joint function as the tensor product of a periodic spline for month and a cubic spline for year:

\[
W_2^2(\text{per}) \otimes W_2^2[0, 1] \\
= (\{1\} \oplus H_1^1) \otimes (\{1\} \oplus \{\text{year}\} \oplus H_2^2) \\
= (\{1\}) \oplus \{\text{year}\} \oplus (H_1^1) \oplus (H_2^2) \oplus (H_1^1 \otimes \{\text{year}\}) \oplus (H_1^1 \otimes H_2^2)
\]

- constant
- linear(year)
- smooth(month)
- smooth(year)
- \(s(\text{month}) \ast l(\text{year})\)
- \(s(\text{month}) \ast s(\text{year})\)
Smoothing Spline ANOVA Model

We have the following SS ANOVA model

\[
\text{thickness}(\text{csmonth, csyear}) = \mu + \beta_{\text{csyear}} + s_1(\text{csmonth}) + s_2(\text{csyear}) + sl(\text{csmonth, csyear}) + ss(\text{csmonth, csyear}) + \epsilon(\text{csmonth, csyear})
\]

- \(\mu\): overall mean
- \(\beta_{\text{csyear}} + s_2(\text{csyear})\): year main effect
- \(s_1(\text{csmonth})\): month main effect
- \(sl(\text{csmonth, csyear}) + ss(\text{csmonth, csyear})\): interaction
> fit7 <- ssr(thick~I(csyear-0.5),
  rk=list(periodic(csmonth), cubic(csyear),
  rk.prod(periodic(csmonth), kron(csyear-.5)),
  rk.prod(periodic(csmonth), cubic(csyear))),
  method="m", data=Arosa)
> summary(fit7)

...  
Coefficients (d):
  (Intercept) I(csyear - 0.5)  
  336.829814  6.031531  

GML estimate(s) of smoothing parameter(s) :  
  1.53135e-06  2.77910e-07  6.17499e-01  2.02682e-02
Equivalent Degrees of Freedom (DF):  24.59540
Estimate of sigma:  15.84154

rk.prod: computes the products of RKs
Fit An Additive Model

The estimate of the interaction is quite small. We delete the interaction terms and fit an additive model.

> fit8 <- update(fit7, rk=list(periodic(csmonth), cubic(csyear)))
> summary(fit8)

...  
Coefficients (d):
(Intercept) I(csyear - 0.5)
  336.82874  5.98981

GML estimate(s) of smoothing parameter(s) :
  1.537247e-06  2.726700e-07
Equivalent Degrees of Freedom (DF):  24.66142
Estimate of sigma:  15.83780
Plots of Yearly Trend and Seasonal Trend

Main effect of month

Main effect of year

month

year
Other Applications of \texttt{ssr}

We have shown how to use \texttt{ssr} to fit cubic, periodic, partial and $L$ splines. \texttt{ssr} can also be used to fit

1. thin-plate splines, \texttt{rk=tps}
2. splines on a sphere, \texttt{rk=sphere}
3. tensor products of all these splines, i.e. smoothing spline ANOVA models, \texttt{rk.prod}
4. Binomial, Poisson and Gamma data, \texttt{family=“” “}
5. Functional linear models (Ramsay and Silverman, 1997)
Non-Parametric Nonlinear Regression Models

\[ y_i = \eta(f; t_i) + \epsilon_i \]

- \( \eta \): a known function of \( t_i = (t_{1i}, \cdots, t_{di}) \) in an arbitrary domain \( T \)
- \( f = (f_1, \cdots, f_q) \): a vector of unknown non-parametric functions
- \( \eta \) depends on \( f \) nonlinearly
- For example, we may use \( f = \exp(g) \) to enforce positivity on \( f \)
- Sometimes \( f \) is observed indirectly through a nonlinear functional, e.g. in remote sensing
The \texttt{nrr} Function

The \texttt{nrr} function fits a NNR model:

\begin{verbatim}
  nrr(formula, func, start, data)
\end{verbatim}

\textbf{Required}

- \texttt{formula} specifies the response on the left of a \texttt{~} operator, and the \( \eta \) function on the right
- \texttt{func} specifies basis functions of the null space and RK for each non-parametric function
- \texttt{start} specifies initial values for the unknown functions

\textbf{Optional}

- \texttt{spar} specifies the method for selecting the smoothing parameter(s). The default is the GCV
Chickenpox epidemic

The data set contains monthly number of reported cases of chickenpox in New York City from 1931 to the first six months of 1972. The goal is to investigate dynamics in an epidemic: long term trend over years, seasonal trend and their interactions.
Time series plot
Seasonal variation

- The seasonal variation was mainly caused by two factors:
  - social behavior of children who made close contacts when school was in session
  - temperature and humidity which may affect the survival and transmission of dispersal stages

- Thus the seasonal variations were similar over the years

- We assume that the seasonal variation has the same shape after vertical shift and vertical scale transformations
A multiplicative model for chickenpox epidemic

We assume the following multiplicative model

\[ y(t_1, t_2) = g_1(t_2) + \exp(g_2(t_2)) \times g_3(t_1) + \epsilon(t_1, t_2) \]

- \( y(t_1, t_2) \) is the square root of reported cases in month \( t_1 \) of year \( t_2 \)
- Both \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \) are transformed into the interval \([0, 1]\)
- \( g_1 \) represents yearly mean cases
- \( g_2 \) represents magnitude of the seasonal variation. \( \exp(g_2(t_2)) \) is the amplitude. A bigger amplitude corresponds to a bigger seasonal variation
- \( g_3 \) represents seasonal trend
- All component functions have nice interpretations
- \( g_1, g_2 \) and \( g_3 \) are unknown and to be modeled nonparametrically
- A extension of the additive models and varying coefficient models
Identifiability and model spaces

- $g_1$ is modeled using a cubic spline: $g_1 \in \mathcal{W}_2^2[0, 1]$
- The exponential transformation of $g_2$ makes the amplitude positive. Again, $g_2$ is modeled using a cubic spline. To make $g_2$ and $g_3$ identifiable, we need the side condition $\int_0^1 g_2(t)dt = 0$. We achieve this by removing the constant functions form the model space: $g_2 \in \mathcal{W}_2^2[0, 1] \ominus \{1\}$
- It has been recognized $g_3$ periodic and is close to a sinusoidal function, but a simple sinusoidal model may be inappropriate. We use the $L$-spline with $L = D^2 + (2\pi)^2$ to model $g_3$. To make model $g_3$ identifiable with $g_1$, we need the side condition $\int_0^1 g_3(t)dt = 0$. Again, we achieve this by removing the constant functions form the model space: $g_3 \in \mathcal{W}_2^2(\text{per}) \ominus \{1, \sin 2\pi x, \cos 2\pi x\}$
S3 <- periodic(chickenpox$csmonth)
g3.tmp <- ssr(ct~1,rk=S3,data=chickenpox,
    spar='''m''')
g3.ini <- as.vector(S3%*%g3.tmp$rkpk.obj$c)
nnr(ct~g1(csyear)+exp(g2(csyear))*g3(csmonth),
    func=list(g1(x)~list(~I(x-.5),cubic(x)),
    g2(x)~list(~I(x-.5)-1,cubic(x)),
    g3(x)~list(~sin(2*pi*x)+
    cos(2*pi*x)-1,lspline(x,type='''sine0'''))),
data=chickenpox,
start=list(g1=mean(sqrt(count)),
    g2=0,g3=g3.ini),
control=list(converg='''coef'''), spar='''m''')
Fits

![Graph 1](image1)

![Graph 2](image2)

![Graph 3](image3)
Semi-parametric Nonlinear Regression Models

\[ y_i = \eta(\phi, f; t_i) + \epsilon_i \]

- \( \eta \) depends not only on a vector of unknown non-parametric functions, but also on a vector of parameters.
- For example, if \( f \) is a strictly increasing function, it can be written as

\[ f(t) = \eta(\phi, g; t) = \phi + \int_0^t \exp\{g(s)\} \, ds \]

- Other well known special cases are projection pursuit, single and multiple index, nonlinear partial spline, varying coefficient and self-modeling nonlinear regression models.
The `snr` Function

The `snr` function fits an SNR model:

\[ \text{snr(formula, func, params, start, data)} \]

**Required**

- **formula** specifies the response on the left of a `~` operator, and the \( \eta \) function on the right
- **func** specifies basis functions of the null space and RK for each non-parametric function
- **params** specifies models for the parameters
- **start** specifies initial values for the unknown functions and parameters

**Optional**

- **spar** specifies the method for selecting the smoothing parameter(s). The default is the GCV
Circadian Rhythm of Cortisol

In an experiment to study immunological responses in humans, blood samples were collected every two hours for 24 hours from 9 healthy normal volunteers, 11 patients with major depression and 16 patients with Cushing’s syndrome. These blood samples were analyzed for parameters that measure immune functions and hormones. We will concentrate on cortisol, a hormone that is affected by stress.

_Cushing’s syndrome_ is a hormonal disorder caused by prolonged exposure of the body’s tissues to high levels of the hormone cortisol.

**Scientific Questions**: Do major depression and Cushing’s syndrome affect circadian rhythms, and if so, how?
Cortisol Levels from Normal Volunteers

- **8001**: Cortisol levels showing a decreasing pattern with some fluctuations.
- **8002**: Similar pattern to 8001 with more pronounced fluctuations.
- **8003**: Cortisol levels showing an increasing trend with fluctuations.
- **8004**: Cortisol levels with a decreasing trend followed by a sharp increase.
- **8005**: Cortisol levels with a pattern similar to 8004 but with less fluctuation.
- **8006**: Cortisol levels showing a decreasing trend with fluctuations.
- **8007**: Cortisol levels with a decreasing trend followed by a sharp increase.
- **8008**: Cortisol levels showing a pattern similar to 8007 but with less fluctuation.
- **8009**: Cortisol levels with a decreasing trend with fluctuations.

**Axes:**
- **y-axis**: Cortisol concentration (ug/dl) on log scale.
- **x-axis**: Time.
Cortisol Levels from Patients with Major Depression

The chart displays cortisol concentration (ug/dl) on a log scale over time. Each subplot represents a different patient or time point, with cortisol levels fluctuating throughout.

- **111**
- **112**
- **113**
- **115**
- **116**
- **117**
- **118**
- **119**
- **122**
- **123**
- **124**

The x-axis represents time, while the y-axis shows the cortisol concentration on a log scale.
Cortisol Levels from Patients with Cushing's Syndrome

The graph shows cortisol levels (in ug/dl) from patients with Cushing's Syndrome over time. The data is plotted on a log scale for cortisol concentration.
Assumptions

- There is a common curve for all individuals.
- Time axis may be shifted for each subject, i.e. each subject has his own phase.
- Each subject has his own magnitude of rhythm (amplitude).
- Each subject has his own 24-hour mean level.
- Period = 24 h.
- For simplicity, we transform the 24-h period into [0,1].
Shape Invariant Model

Consider one group of subjects only. The shape invariant model (SIM) assumes that

\[ y_{ij} = \beta_{1i} + \exp(\beta_{2i})f(t_{ij} - a\text{logit}(\beta_{3i})) + \epsilon_{ij}, \]

\[ i = 1, \ldots, m, \ j = 1, \ldots, n_i \]

- \( \beta_{1i} \) is the 24-h mean of subject \( i \)
- \( \exp(\beta_{2i}) \) is the amplitude of subject \( i \). The exponential transformation is used to force the amplitude to be positive
- \( a\text{logit}(\beta_{3i}) \) is the phase of subject \( i \). The inverse logistic transformation, \( a\text{logit}(x) = \exp(x)/(1 + \exp(x)) \), is used to force the phase to be inside interval \([0,1]\)
- \( f \) is the common curve. Circadian rhythm exists if \( f \neq 0 \).

We model \( f \) using periodic spline \( f \in W^2_2(per) \ominus \{1\} \)

- \( \epsilon_{ij} \overset{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma^2) \)
Shape Invariant Model

SIM is a special case of SNR. Thus it can be fitted using the `snr` function:

```r
nor <- horm.cort[horm.cort$type=="normal",]
M <- model.matrix(~as.factor(ID), data=nor)
nor.snr.fit1 <- snr(conc~b1+exp(b2)*f(time-a logit(b3)),
    func=f(u)~list(periodic(u)),
    params=list(b1~M-1, b2+b3~M[-1]-1),
    start=list(params=c(mean(nor$conc),rep(0,24))),
    data=nor, spar="m",
    control=list(prec.out=0.001,converg="PRSS"))
```
Semi-parametric Nonlinear Mixed-Effects Models

\[ y_{ij} = \eta(\phi_i, f; t_{ij}) + \epsilon_{ij} \]
\[ \phi_i = A_i \beta + B_i b_i \]

- The first-stage model is the same as a SNR model
- The second-stage model is the same as one for a nonlinear mixed-effect model
- A second-stage model may also be constructed for \( f \)
The `snm` Function

The `snm` function fits an SNM model:

```r
snm(formula, func, fixed, random, start, data)
```

**Required**
- `formula` specifies the response on the left of a `~` operator, and the $\eta$ function on the right
- `func` specifies basis functions of the null space and RK for each non-parametric function
- `fixed` specifies fixed effects
- `start` specifies initial values for fixed effects

**Optional**
- `random` specifies random effects
- `group` specifies grouping for random effects
- `spar` specifies the method for selecting the smoothing parameter(s). The default is the GCV
- `correlation` specifies within group correlation structure
- `weights` specifies within group heteroscedasticity
- `structure`
Shape Invariant Mixed Effects Model

The SIM ignores correlations within an individual. For cortisol data, it is more appropriate to consider a shape invariant mixed effects model (SIMM):

\[ y_{ij} = \mu + b_{1i} + \exp(b_{2i})f(t_{ij} - \text{alogit}(b_{3i})) + \epsilon_{ij}, \]

\[ i = 1, \ldots, m, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n_i \]

- fixed effect \( \mu \) represents 24-hour mean of the population
- random effects \( b_{1i}, b_{2i} \) and \( b_{3i} \) represent the \( i \)th subject’s deviation of 24-hour mean, amplitude and phase
- \( \mu + b_{1i} \) is the 24-h mean of subject \( i \)
- \( \exp(b_{2i}) \) is the amplitude of subject \( i \)
- \( \text{alogit}(b_{3i}) \) is the phase of subject \( i \)
- we assume that \( b_i = (b_{1i}, b_{2i}, b_{3i})^T \sim \text{iid} N(0, \sigma^2 D) \), where \( D \) is an unstructured positive-definite matrix
- \( f \): same as in SIM
- \( \epsilon_{ij} \sim \text{iid} N(0, \sigma^2) \)
Data Analyses

The data set consists the following variables:

- **ID**: subjects ID number
- **time**: time when measurements were taken
- **conc**: hormone cortisol concentration
- **group**: three groups of subjects: normal, depression and cushing

We first fit a SIMM for each group. The following code fits the SIMM to the normal group using `snm`.

```r
snm(conc~b1+exp(b2)*f(time-alogit(b3)),
    func=f(u)~list(periodic(u)),
    fixed=list(b1~1), random=list(b1+b2+b3~1),
    groups=~ID, spar='m', data=nor,
    start=c(mean(nor$conc)))
```
Observations and Fits for Normal Volunteers

The image contains a graph displaying observations and fits for cortisol concentration (ug/dl) on a log scale over time. The graph includes individual plots for different time points, labeled 8001 to 8009, each showing data points (circles) and fitted curves (lines) that represent the relationship between time and cortisol concentration.
Observations and Fits for Patients with Major Depression

[Graph showing cortisol concentration (ug/dl) on log scale over time for patients with major depression.]
Cortisol Levels from Patients with Cushing’s Syndrome

![Graph showing cortisol levels from patients with Cushing's Syndrome. The graph plots cortisol concentration (ug/dl) on a log scale against time. The data points are connected by lines, indicating trends over time.]
Figure: Solid lines are estimates of the shape functions. Shaded regions are 95% Bayesian confidence intervals. The left three panels are estimates from separate fits, and the right panel is the estimate from the joint fit.
Conclusions

For patients with Cushing’s syndrome,

- 24-hour means were elevated to much higher levels
- the common function is almost zero, which indicates that circadian rhythms were lost

The absence of a circadian rhythm has been considered as hallmark of the diagnosis of Cushing’s syndrome. Unlike normal subjects, patients with Cushing’s syndrome fail to decrease cortisol secretion in the late evening. Therefore, the measurement of elevated late evening cortisol is a very simple and useful way to screen patients for Cushing’s syndrome.
Testing Differences Between Groups

To compare **depression group** with the **normal group**, we need to investigate potential effects of depression

1. on the shape function
2. on the parameters if the shape functions are the same
Same Shape?

From plots we can see that the shape functions for the normal and depression groups are similar. To formally test this hypothesis, we fit data from these two groups simultaneous

\[ y_{ijk} = \mu_k + b_{1ik} + \exp(b_{2ik})f(k, t_{ijk} - \text{alognit}(b_{3ik})) + \epsilon_{ijk}, \]

\[ i = 1, \cdots, m, \quad j = 1, \cdots, n_i, \quad k = 1, 2, \]

\[ b_{ik} = (b_{1ik}, b_{2ik}, b_{3ik})^T \overset{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_k^2 D_k), \quad \epsilon_{ijk} \overset{iid}{\sim} N(0, \sigma_k^2) \]

- \( k = 1 \) and \( k = 2 \) correspond to depression and normal groups respectively
- fixed effects \( \mu_k \) is the population 24-hour mean of group \( k \)
- random effects \( b_{1ik}, b_{2ik} \) and \( b_{3ik} \) represent the \( i \)th subject’s deviation of 24-hour mean, amplitude and phase
- different correlation structures for the random effects in each group
- subjects are nested within group
Smoothing Spline ANOVA decomposition of \( f \)

\( f \) is a function of both \textit{group} (denoted as \( k \)) and \textit{time} (denoted as \( t \)). Thus we have different common functions for each \textit{group}. We want to test

\[
H_0 : \ f(1, t) = f(2, t)
\]

We use the following SS ANOVA decomposition

\[
f(k, t) = s(t) + ss(k, t)
\]

- \( s(t) = (f(1, t) + f(2, t))/2 \) is the main effect of \textit{time}
- \( ss(k, t) = f(k, t) - s(t) \) is the interaction between \textit{group} and \textit{time}

Since \( ss(k, t) = (f(1, t) - f(2, t)) \times (I(k = 1) - I(k = 2)) \), the hypothesis

\[
H_0 : \ f(1, t) = f(2, t)
\]

is equivalent to

\[
H_0 : \ ss(k, t) = 0
\]
nordep <- horm.cort[horm.cort$type!="cushing",]
nordep$type <- as.factor(as.vector(nordep$type))

snm(conc~b1+exp(b2)*f(type,time~a+logit(b3)),
    func=f(g,u)~list(list(periodic(u),
    rk.prod(shrink1(g),periodic(u)))),
data=nordep, fixed=list(b1~type),
    random=list(b1+b2+b3~1), groups=~ID,
    weights=varIdent(form=~1|type),
    spar="m", start=c(1.8,-.2))

- The estimate of $ss(k,t)$ is essentially zero. Thus $f(1,t) = f(2,t)$
Differences in Means, Amplitudes and Phases

Under the assumption of one shape function for both groups, we now can investigate differences of 24-hour mean, amplitude, and phase between two groups. For this purpose, consider the following model

\[
y_{ijk} = \mu_k + b_{1ik} + \exp(b_{2ik} + d_1 \times I(k = 2)) \times f(t_{ijk} - a\logit(b_{3ik} + d_2 \times I(k = 2))) + \epsilon_{ijk},
\]

\[i = 1, \ldots, m, \quad j = 1, \ldots, n_i, \quad k = 1, 2,
\]

where \(d_1\) and \(d_2\) measures the differences of amplitude and phase between normal and depression groups.
Differences in Means, Amplitudes and Phases

\[ \text{snm}(\text{conc} \sim b1 + \exp(b2+d1 \times \text{I}(\text{type}==\text{"normal"})) \times f(\text{time}-\text{alogit}(b3+d2 \times \text{I}(\text{type}==\text{"normal"}))), \text{func}=f(u) \sim \text{list}(\text{periodic}(u)), \text{data}=\text{nordep}, \text{fixed}=\text{list}(b1 \sim \text{type}, d1+d2 \sim 1), \text{random}=\text{list}(b1+b2+b3 \sim 1), \text{groups}=\sim \text{ID}, \text{weights}=\text{varIdent}(\text{form}=\sim 1 | \text{type}), \text{spar}=\text{"m"}, \text{start}=c(1.9, -0.3, 0, 0)) \]

- Differences of 24-hour mean \((\mu_1 - \mu_2)\) and amplitude \((d_1)\) between two groups are significant. For patients with major depression, 24-hour means were elevated and amplitudes were dampened.

- Difference of phase between two groups \((d_2)\) is not significant